THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTRN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

CAROLYN ANDERSON,

Plaintiff.

٧.

DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, FOUR OUR FAMILIES, INC., and CALL-EM-ALL, LLC,

Defendants.

NO. C11-902RBL

DEFENDANT FOUR OUR FAMILIES, INC.'S NOTICE OF JOINDER IN DOMINO'S PIZZA'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF WASH. LOCAL RULE 7(d)(2)(A)

NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR: March 23, 2012

## I. INTRODUCTION

COMES NOW, the DEFENDANT, FOUR OUR FAMILIES, INC. ("FOFI") who respectfully joins Domino's Pizza, Inc. and Domino's Pizza, LLC. (collectively "Domino's) in its Response to Plaintiff's Motion [dkt.no.69] and adopts all arguments and evidence presented by Domino's. In addition, Defendant FOFI respectfully requests the Court require Plaintiff to respond to FOFI's Motion for Summary Judgment, noted for March 30, 2012, as scheduled. [dkt. 73].

## II. RELIEF REQUESTED

FOFI's summary judgment motion addresses completely different legal issues than that of Domino's pending summary judgment motion. Therefore, the continuance request by Plaintiff should have no bearing. FOFI has complied with all discovery requests made

FOFI's JOINDER- 1 of 3 (11-00902)
S:\CASES7\Four Our Families class action\Pleadings\USDC Pleadings\Word Docs\DOMINO'S SJ\Response to Pls continuance motion.docx

FAUBION, REEDER, FRALEY, & COOK P.S. 5920 100<sup>th</sup> St. SW #25 Lakewood, WA 98499 (253) 581-0660

by Plaintiff. There would be no prejudice to Plaintiff in responding to FOFI's motion. Plaintiff's counsel has prepared and filed a summary judgment response with the same exact legal issues as in *Cubbage v. Talbots*.

## III. CONCLUSION

Defendant FOFI requests that its Motion for Summary Judgment noted for March 30, 2012, be unaffected by Plaintiff's pending motions. She has not indicated any reason that FOFI's motion would also need to be continued. Plaintiff has had ample opportunity and time to furnish a response to FOFI's summary judgment motion.

Dated this 21st day of March, 2012.

/s Nelson C. Fraley, II
Nelson C. Fraley, II, WSBA No. 26742
Attorneys for Plaintiff
FAUBION REEDER FRALEY & COOK, PS
5920 100<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Suite 25
Lakewood, WA 98499
Telephone: (253) 581-0660

Fax: (253) 581-0894 Email: nfraley@fjr-law.com

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	SEITH IS THE SEITHIGE
3	I hereby certify that on March 21, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing with the
4	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to
5	the following:
6	
7	Kim Williams Rob Williamson
8	Williamson & Williams
9	187 Parfitt Way SW, Suite 250 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	David M. Soderland Dunlap & Soderland, P.S.
12	901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3003 Seattle, WA 98164 Attorneys for Domino's Pizza
13	
14	Kelly P. Corr
15	Corr Cronin Michelson, et al. 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900
16	Seattle, WA 98154 Attorneys for Call-Em-All, LLC
17	
18	Andrew B. Lustigman Scott Shaffer
19	Olshan Grundman Frome Rosenzweig & Wolosky, LLP
20	Park Avenue Tower 65 East 55 <sup>th</sup> Street
21	New York, NY 10022
22	Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Call-Em- All, LLC
23	s/ Lona Hertz
24	Faubion Reeder Fraley & Cook, PS 5920 100 <sup>th</sup> Street SW, Suite 25
25	Lakewood, WA 98499
26	Telephone: (253) 581-0660 Fax: (253) 581-0894 Email:  hertz@fjr-law.com

FOFI's JOINDER- 3 of 3 (11-00902)
S:\CASES7\Four Our Families class action\Pleadings\USDC Pleadings\Word Docs\DOMINO'S SJ\Response to Pls continuance motion.docx

FAUBION, REEDER, FRALEY, & COOK P.S. 5920 100<sup>th</sup> St. SW #25 Lakewood, WA 98499 (253) 581-0660