01

02

03

04

05

06

07

08

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PAGE -1

UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
	CT OF WASHINGTON EATTLE	
ROBERT KOCHENDORFER,		
Plaintiff,	) CASE NO. C11-1162-MAT	
	) ) )	
V.	<ul><li>) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR</li><li>) RECONSIDERATION</li></ul>	
METROPOLITAN PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
Defendant filed a motion (Dkt. 51)	seeking reconsideration of the Court's Order	
granting plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment (Dkt. 43). Having considered that		
motion, the Court finds and rules as follows:		
(1) Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7	:	
motions in the absence of a showing	ored. The court will ordinarily deny such of manifest error in the prior ruling or a which could not have been brought to its ence.	
CR 7(h)(1). Here, defendant largely reiterates arguments it raised in response to plaintiff's		
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECON	SIDERATION	

01	motion. Defendant also ignores significant aspects of the Court's Order and belatedly sets
02	forth responsive arguments that could have been brought previously. The Court finds no
03	showing of either manifest error or new facts or legal authority which could not have been
04	brought to the Court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Because the Court finds no
05	basis for reconsideration, defendant's motion (Dkt. 51) is DENIED.
06	(2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to the parties.
07	DATED this <u>30th</u> day of April, 2012.
08	
09	Mary Alice Theiler
10	United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION PAGE -2