Valdez-Sanchez v. Asher Doc. 22

1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	JULIO CESAR VALDEZ-SANCHEZ,	
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C11-1483RAJ
12	V.	ORDER
13	NATHALIE ASHER,	
14	NATHALIE ASHER,	
	Respondent.	
15		
15 16		al Report and Recommendation ("R&R")
16	The court has reviewed the Supplement	ler, United States Magistrate Judge and has
16 17	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil	ler, United States Magistrate Judge and has
16 17 18	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for	ler, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the
16 17 18 19	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.	ler, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental
16 17 18 19 20	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.  The court previously referred this matter.	ler, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental Plaintiff had recently received satisfied
16 17 18 19 20 21	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.  The court previously referred this matter recommendation on whether the bond hearing	ler, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental Plaintiff had recently received satisfied &R concluded that the bond hearing was
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.  The court previously referred this matter recommendation on whether the bond hearing Respondent's due process obligations. The Respondent is the supplement of the	der, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental Plaintiff had recently received satisfied &R concluded that the bond hearing was conclusion.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.  The court previously referred this matter recommendation on whether the bond hearing Respondent's due process obligations. The Relegally sufficient. The court reaches the same	der, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental Plaintiff had recently received satisfied &R concluded that the bond hearing was conclusion.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.  The court previously referred this matter recommendation on whether the bond hearing Respondent's due process obligations. The Relegally sufficient. The court reaches the same Petitioner filed an unopposed motion for	der, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental Plaintiff had recently received satisfied &R concluded that the bond hearing was conclusion.  For an extension of time asking for an extension of time asking for an extension of the R&R. The court GRANTS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The court has reviewed the Supplement (Dkt. # 20) of the Honorable Mary Alice Theil considered Petitioner's motion (Dkt. # 21) for R&R.  The court previously referred this matter recommendation on whether the bond hearing Respondent's due process obligations. The Relegally sufficient. The court reaches the same Petitioner filed an unopposed motion for additional two weeks beyond April 13, 2012, to	der, United States Magistrate Judge and has an extension of time to respond to the er to Judge Theiler for a supplemental Plaintiff had recently received satisfied &R concluded that the bond hearing was conclusion.  For an extension of time asking for an extension of time asking for an extension to the R&R. The court GRANTS le an objection to the R&R by April 27,

unlikely that Petitioner's objection, even if he had timely filed it, would have led the court to a different result. The court adopts the supplemental R&R, DISMISSES this action, and directs the clerk to enter judgment for Respondent. Dated this 1st day of May, 2012. Richard A Jones The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Court Judge