will
 app
 que
 See
 exp
 abs
 his
 infe
 rep

willing to presume that any resolution of the claims asserted against him in Lebanon will also apply to his family members. The Court's authority to compel plaintiff to mediate cannot be questioned. Both LCR 16(c)(3) and the Court's inherent powers are sources of that authority.

See In re Atl. Pipe Corp., 304 F.3d 135, 140 (1st Cir. 2002). Nevertheless, defendant does not explain why he and plaintiff cannot reach a resolution of their outstanding disputes in the absence of Mr. Siderius. Mr. Siderius is not a party to this lawsuit or the Lebanese matter, and his consent to settlement is not necessary. From the repeated references to the insurer, the Court infers that defendant hopes to utilize at least a portion of Mr. Siderius' policy limits to buy repose for himself. Whether the insurance policy is triggered will not be determined in this litigation, however, and the mere existence of pots of money that might be useful to defendant does not justify inserting third parties into the settlement negotiations over plaintiff's objections.

Whether a negotiated resolution of the dispute between plaintiff and defendant would terminate the Lebanese proceeding is unclear. The documents provided by plaintiff show that he, rather than the prosecuting authority, instigated the proceeding and that the "case is between Dr. Elias Fouad Ilyia the Plaintiff and Maroun Nakhle El Khoury the Defendant." Dkt. # 212-3. Plaintiff offers no information regarding the procedure under which the petition was filed, the impact of a petitioner's withdrawal of the claim, or the current status of the case. The little information provided suggests that if plaintiff were to resolve his dispute with defendant in mediation, he would have the power to affect the prosecution of the criminal matter and bring the proceeding to a close. Because settlement of this action would be impossible if defendant faced continuing litigation and potential liability in Lebanon over the same events, plaintiff will be compelled to mediate all outstanding claims between the parties.

For all of the foregoing reasons, defendant's motion to compel mediation is GRANTED in part. The parties shall participate in a mediation before Thomas Harris in a good faith effort to resolve the above-captioned matter and the Lebanese proceeding. It is further

ORDERED that the parties shall refrain from asserting toothless accusations of ethical and/or Rule 11 violations in their memoranda. If sanctionable conduct has occurred, the complaining party may file a separate motion for sanctions (following the procedures set forth in Rule 11 if the motion for sanctions is filed under that authority). The motion shall be adequately supported with facts and legal authority. Baseless and unsupported accusations which the party has no intention of pursuing are barred. Dated this 4th day of April, 2014. MWS Casnik Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING IN PART MOTION TO COMPEL MEDIATION - $\,3\,$