

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

ELIAS ILYIA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
MAROUN EL KHOURY and SOPHIE  
JALBERT EL KHOURY,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. C11-1593RSL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION AND  
ESTABLISHING DEADLINE FOR  
SERVICE

On May 25, 2012, the Court granted in part defendant Sophie El Khoury's motion to dismiss, but found that defendant had waived her objections related to plaintiff's failure to serve the summons and complaint. Defendant filed a timely motion for reconsideration in which she asserts that her service objections were not ripe, and therefore were not available, when she filed her first motion to dismiss on October 6, 2011. Dkt. # 74.

Defendant's argument has merit, and her motion for reconsideration is GRANTED: defendant has not waived the defense of insufficiency of service. Sophie has not, however, shown that she is entitled to dismissal of the claims against her. Although the Court has very little in the way of admissible evidence, it appears that Sophie is a resident of Lebanon who is sometimes present within this judicial district.

1 Depending on where Sophie is found, plaintiff may be able to serve her under Fed. R.  
2 Civ. P. 4(e) or 4(f). Because the 120-day time limit for service imposed by Rule 4(m)  
3 does not apply to service in a foreign country, defendant has not shown that dismissal for  
4 insufficient service is justified at this point in time.

5 Plaintiff has filed a motion seeking a declaration that his efforts to serve  
6 Sophie, combined with her actual knowledge of the lawsuit against her, provide all the  
7 process that is due under the United States Constitution. While actual notice is not  
8 contested, plaintiff has not provided any evidence from which the Court could conclude  
9 that reasonable efforts at proper service have been made. What efforts plaintiff has made  
10 to ascertain Sophie's Lebanon address, whether service under an international agreement  
11 has been attempted, how much time Sophie spends in the United States, whether her  
12 husband's house could be considered her dwelling or usual place of abode under state  
13 law, whether service was attempted on Sophie at her husband's abode, and whether  
14 Sophie is deliberately evading service cannot be ascertained from the existing records.

15  
16 For all of the foregoing reasons, the Court finds that Sophie El Khoury has  
17 not waived her insufficiency of service defense, that the time for service has not yet  
18 expired, and that plaintiff has not shown good cause for alternative service. Defendants'  
19 motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 74) is GRANTED, and plaintiff's motion for  
20 alternative service (Dkt. # 77)<sup>1</sup> is DENIED. In addition, the Court finds that some  
21 deadline is necessary for service, lest this litigation stall with regards to the claims  
22 against Sophie. Service shall be accomplished on or before September 27, 2012, unless  
23

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Defendants' procedural objection to the motion for alternative service is not  
26 factually accurate. Plaintiff filed his motion on June 17, 2012, and noted it on the fifth  
Friday after filing.

1 plaintiff shows good cause for the failure to do so.<sup>2</sup>

2  
3 Dated this 27th day of July, 2012.

4 

5 Robert S. Lasnik  
6 United States District Judge

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

---

25 <sup>2</sup> The Court notes that plaintiff filed a “Proof of Service of Summons and  
26 Complaint” regarding Sophie on July 5, 2012. Neither party withdrew their then-pending motion, however.