

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 GEORGE ALLAN O'DELL,
12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JON HOLDEN, IAM UNION 751
15 REPRESENTATIVE,
16 Defendant.

CASE NO. C12-027RSM

ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS

17 This matter is before the Court for consideration of defendant Jon Holden's Rule 12(b)(6)
18 motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. Dkt. # 5. For the reasons set forth, the motion shall be
19 granted.

20 Plaintiff George Allan O'Dell, appearing *pro se*, filed this action for discrimination in
21 employment in Snohomish County Superior Court, naming as sole defendant his union representative
22 Jon Holden. Dkt. # 2. Defendant removed the action to this Court on January 6, 2012, on the basis of
23 federal preemption by the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 159(a) and the duty of fair
24 representation arising thereunder. Dkt. # 1. Defendant then moved to dismiss the complaint for failure
25 to state a claim on the basis of Mr. Holden's immunity from suit for acts in his capacity as union
26 representative. Dkt. # 5.

27 Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion to dismiss in any way. Indeed, he has not appeared

28 ORDER - 1

1 at all in this Court since the action was removed here more than three months ago. His failure to
2 respond is deemed by the Court as an admission that the motion to dismiss has merit. Local Rule CR
3 7(b)(2). His failure to appear in this Court is deemed as failure to prosecute. Local Rule CR 41(b)(2).

4 Accordingly, defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. # 5) is GRANTED, and this action is
5 DISMISSED without prejudice.

6
7 DATED this 18th day of April 2012.

8
9 

10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27