1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	JOSH AUXIER and COLEEN AUXIER,	CASE NO. C12-288MJP
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
12	v.	SUTTELL & HAMMER, P.S.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
13	SUTTELL & HAMMER, P.S.; FREDERICK J. HANNA &	JUDGMENT
14	ASSOCIATES, P.C.; NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC; and FIA	
15	CARD SERVICES, N.A a/k/a BANK OF AMERICA,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants.	
18	This matter comes before the Court on the	motion for summary judgment filed by
19	This matter comes before the Court on the motion for summary judgment filed by Defendant Suttell & Hammer, P.S. ("Suttell"). (Dkt. No. 36.) Having reviewed the motion and	
20		
21	the remaining record, and noting Plaintiffs' failure to file any opposition, the Court GRANTS the	
22	motion.  Systell's motion asserts that it did not violate the Fair Debt Callection Practices Act. 15	
23	Suttell's motion asserts that it did not violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq., because it properly validated the Auxiers' debt. (Dkt. No. 36 at 3.) The	
24	0.5.C. § 1092 ct. seq., because it property validate	du inc Auxicis ucut. (Dkt. 110. 30 at 3.) Tile

1	motion also asserts that Suttell did not violate Washington's Consumer Protection Act, RCW	
2	19.86, because there is no evidence Suttell acted unfairly or deceptively, nor is there any proof of	
3	the other elements of a CPA claim. ( <u>Id.</u> at 4.)	
4	Suttell's motion for summary judgment was filed on November 26, 2012. (Dkt. No 36.)	
5	Pursuant to LCR 7(d)(3), Plaintiffs' opposition brief was due on December 17, 2012. However,	
6	Plaintiffs have filed no papers opposing Defendant's motion. LCR 7(b)(2) explains that a party's	
7	failure to file papers in opposition to a motion may be considered an admission that the motion	
8	has merit. Therefore, pursuant to LCR 7(b)(2), the Court deems Plaintiffs to have admitted that	
9	the motion has merit, and the Court GRANTS Defendant Suttell's motion. Plaintiffs' claims	
10	against Defendant Suttell are hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.	
11	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Plaintiff and to all counsel.	
12	Dated this 30th day of January, 2013.	
13		
14	Maesluf Relens	
15	Marsha J. Pechman	
16	United States District Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		