1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	STACIE WILLIAMS	
10	Plaintiff,	Case No.
11	v.	DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT
12	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	(DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP)
13	Defendant.	[28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441]
14	2 	[20 0.0.0. 33 1002, 1111]
15		
16	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT	
17	AND TO PLAINTIFF STACIE WILLIAMS AND HER COUNSEL OF RECORD	
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant, Federal Express Corporation, hereby removes	
19	this action to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a) and 1441 (b), due to diversity of	
20	citizenship between the parties. In support thereof, Defendant states as follows:	
21	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
22 23		
	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)	
24 25	and this action is one that may be removed to this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §	
26	1441(b). Federal Express Corporation is the solo	e Defendant and consents to removal. As set

forth below, this case meets all of the requirements for removal and is timely and properly removed by the filing of this Notice.

PLEADINGS, PROCESS AND VENUE

- 2. On January 13, 2012 Plaintiff initiated a civil action in the Superior Court for the State of Washington, King County, Civil Action No. 12-2-02109-1 KNT, wherein Stacie Williams is the Plaintiff and Federal Express Corporation is the Defendant. Defendant was served with a copy of the Complaint and Summons on February 14, 2012. A true and correct copy of the Summons and Complaint is attached as Exhibit A. An Order Setting Case Schedule was also included with the Complaint and Summons. A true and correct copy of the Order Setting Case Schedule is attached as Exhibit B. A true and correct copy of the Declaration of Service of the Summons, Complaint and Order Setting Civil Case Schedule is attached as Exhibit C. A true and correct copy of Case Assignment Designation and Case Information Cover Sheet and Case Information Cover Sheet and Area Designation are attached as Exhibit D. By signing this Notice of Removal, counsel for Defendant verifies that the items attached hereto are true and correct copies of all the records and pleadings in the state court proceeding.
- 3. In her Complaint, Plaintiff raises claims of failure to provide reasonable accommodation and wrongful termination under the Washington state law. Exhibit A: Complaint ¶ 2.1; 4.1 5.2.
- 4. Plaintiff is an adult resident citizen of King County, Washington. Exhibit A: Complaint ¶ 1.1. Defendant, Federal Express Corporation, is a Delaware Corporation (Exhibit A: Complaint ¶1.2), and maintains its headquarters in Tennessee. Defendant was not a citizen of the State of Washington at the time this action was commenced, and is not currently a citizen of

the State of Washington. Therefore, diversity of citizenship exists between Plaintiff and Defendant.

- 5. This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and is one which may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) because it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 6. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 based on the following: Plaintiff's Complaint seeks "general and special damages, attorney's fees and punitive damages." When a plaintiff fails to plead a specific amount of damages and if the amount in controversy is not "facially apparent" from the complaint, "the court may consider facts in the removal petition" to determine the amount at issue. *Kroske v. US Bank Corp.*, 432 F.3d 976, 980 (9th Cir. 2005) (quoting *Singer v. State Farm Mut. Ins. Co.*,113 F.3d 373, 377 (9th Cir. 1977)). Plaintiff alleges a failure to accommodate her disability and that she was wrongfully terminated on or about March 2, 2009. At the time of Plaintiff's discharge she was earning in excess of \$46,000 per year and thus her wage loss claim alone would exceed the jurisdictional amount. Given the nature of her claims the federal amount in controversy requirement is met. Accordingly, this Court has original and removal jurisdiction over Plaintiff's allegations.
- 7. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington at Seattle. Venue is proper in this District because this is the district court of the United States for the district where this action is currently pending. Venue is proper at Seattle because the cause of action arose in King County. Exhibit A: Complaint ¶ 1.3; Local Rule 5(e)(1).
 - 8. This Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days after Defendant's

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I am a resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party 2 to the within action. My business address is One Union Square, 600 University Street, Suite 3 3200, Seattle, Washington 98101.3122. On March 1, 2012, I served the within documents: 4 5 DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL **COURT** 6 × by causing a copy of the document(s) listed above to be personally served to the person(s) 7 at the address(es) set forth below. 8 9 Thomas M. Geisness, WSBA #1878 Peter T. Geisness, WSBA #30897 10 THE GEISNESS LAW FIRM The Colman Building, Suite 675 11 811 First Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 12 Telephone: 206.728.8866 13 Fax: 206.728.1173 14 15 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the 16 above is true and correct. Executed on March 1, 2012, at Seattle, Washington. 17 18 s/ Tiffany D. Holiday 19 Tiffany D. Holiday tholiday@littler.com 20 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 21 22 Firmwide:109595386.1 024910.1026 23 24 25 26