ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - 1

26

Doc. 65

| 1 | Even if the Court were to consider the motion on its merits, defendant has not |
|----|--|
| 2 | shown "manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal authority which could not |
| 3 | have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Civil |
| 4 | Rule 7(h)(1). Defendant simply disagrees with the Court's conclusions or makes |
| 5 | arguments that should have and could have been presented while the motion was being |
| 6 | considered. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | For all of the foregoing reasons, defendant's motion for reconsideration is |
| 9 | DENIED. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Dated this 2nd day of January, 2013. |
| 12 | MMS Casnik |
| 13 | Robert S. Lasnik |
| 14 | United States District Judge |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION |
| 26 | FOR RECONSIDERATION - 2 |