

representation. Dkt. # 23.

26

27

On June 5, 2013, the court denied plaintiff's second request for pro bono counsel because the court was unable to locate counsel to represent plaintiff for the entirety of her case, and early ADR proceedings with representation of counsel was unsuccessful. Dkt. # 29. The court makes the same finding on Ms. Wangari's third request. Since plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court refers plaintiff to the court's website that includes, among other things, a pro se guide. See http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/pro-se. Also available on the court's website is a link to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this District's Local Rules. See http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/local-rules-and-orders. For all the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's third application for court-appointed counsel is DENIED. Dated this 30th day of December, 2013. Richard A free The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge