1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
<ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	RAMGEN POWER SYSTEMS LLC,	CASE NO. C12-1762 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT'S DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS
12	v.	
13	AGILIS ENGINEERING INC,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	On May 9, 2014, Defendant filed a Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 47) and a	
17	Motion for Sanctions (Dkt. No. 48). Both pleadings are dispositive motions: the Motion for	
18	Summary Judgment requests "summary judgment in favor of the entire Amended Complaint"	
19	(Dkt. No. 47, p. 2); the Motion for Sanctions "requests that the Court strike Ramgen's pleadings	
20	and enter judgment in favor of Agilis and against Ramgen." (Dkt. No. 48, p. 1.)	
21	The Local Rules of this district prohibit the filing of contemporaneous dispositive	
22	motions absent permission of the Court:	
23		
24		

1 Absent leave of the court, parties must not file contemporaneous dispositive motions, each one directed toward a discrete claim or issue. 2 LCR 7(e). 3 The Court finds that each of these motions is directed toward a discrete claim or issue. 4 Defendant did not seek leave of the Court prior to filing these motions. Therefore, 5 IT IS ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 47) and 6 Motion for Sanctions (Dkt. No. 48) are STRICKEN. 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant has until May 30, 2014 to file a single 8 dispositive motion. The dispositive motion deadline in this matter is extended to that date for 9 this purpose only. 10 The Court expects that Plaintiff will respond to the unified dispositive motion within the 11 page limit set by the Local Rules; Plaintiff is, of course, free to move for permission to file an 12 overlength brief if it feels that circumstances so warrant. 13 14 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel. 15 Dated this 23rd day of May, 2014. 16 17 Marshuf Helens 18 Marsha J. Pechman 19 United States District Judge 20 21 22 23

24