as the weekly status reports sent to Anjani Gali and Aramnad Aghabegian on or before March 22, 2012.

- In their response, defendants committed to providing all emails between plaintiff, Anjani Gali, and Prashanth Koppula within the time limits established in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. The Court assumes that production occurred on or around April 30, 2014.
- Plaintiff's supposition that defendants are intentionally concealing documents or delaying production is unjustified on the record presented. The misdirection of discovery responses does not establish bad faith, and defense counsel has shown a willingness to try to understand what plaintiff is seeking and to look beyond formalities to ensure that discovery proceeds in an orderly fashion.
- ATD's request for sanctions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 is denied. The parties did confer, the communication problem was discovered, and defendants promised to resend the responsive materials. When the materials still did not appear, plaintiff filed this motion. Although it was improper to expand the scope of his discovery requests in the motion, plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and will not be sanctioned for this misstep. ATD's request for Rule 11 sanctions fails to comply with the requirements of that rule and has not been considered.

Dated this 19th day of May, 2014.

MMS (asuik Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge