UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

VOLTAGE PICTURES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOES 1 - 78.

Defendants.

Case No. C13-0456RAJ-RSL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND QUASHING SUBPOENAS

This action was filed on March 13, 2013. It is one of forty-eight copyright infringement actions filed during a four month period by attorney Richard J. Symmes against approximately 2,323 individual Doe defendants. The seventy-eight defendants in this case are represented by IP addresses linked to on-line sharing of the movie "Maximum Conviction" between 10:18 pm on January 2, 2013, and 5:08 am on March 2, 2013. Although the evidence of internet activity shows that hours, if not days, separated each defendant's allegedly infringing conduct, plaintiff alleges that all seventy-eight defendants participated in a single "swarm" utilizing interactive peer-to-peer file transfer technology protocol called a BitTorrent to illegally copy and share "Maximum Conviction." Plaintiff affirmatively alleges that the "swarm" aspect of the file-sharing justifies joinder of these disparate defendants in a single lawsuit.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND QUASHING SUBPOENAS - 1

On March 27, 2013, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to initiate early discovery, including the issuance of subpoenas under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to internet service providers, in an attempt to identify each Doe defendant. No defendants have filed objections to the subpoenas. Despite the fact that more than six weeks have elapsed since discovery was authorized, there is no indication that any defendants have been served, nor has plaintiff amended its complaint to identify the Doe defendants.

All BitTorrent cases filed in the Western District of Washington have been referred to the undersigned for pretrial handling. As the full extent of this assignment has become clear, the Court admits to some concerns regarding both the appropriateness of joinder and the possibility that the judicial authority of the United States may be used to wrest improvident settlements from pro se litigants under threat of huge statutory penalties. The Court is not alone: other judicial officers in the Ninth Circuit are beset by the same concerns and have taken various paths to mitigate the potential for abuse. See, e.g., Ingenuity 13 LLC v. John Doe, No. 2:12-cv-9333-ODW(JCx) (C.D. Cal. May 6, 2013); Voltage Pictures, LLC v. Does 1-12, No. 2:13-292-AA (D. Or. May 4, 2013).

Having reviewed the record in this and related cases as well as the relevant case law, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Any and all subpoenas issued in the above-captioned matter are hereby QUASHED. Plaintiff shall immediately notify the subpoena recipients that they need not respond.
- 2. To the extent plaintiff has obtained identifying information regarding one or more Doe defendants, whether through the service provider, defendant, or another source, it shall not utilize that information in any way. If plaintiff has already contacted one or more of the defendants, it shall file under seal all correspondence or other written communications (including emails) sent to defendants and a summary of any oral

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND OUASHING SUBPOENAS - 3