

01
02
03
04
05
06
07
08
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ISRAEL VELASQUEZ-MARQUEZ,)	
)	CASE NO. C13-1093-JCC-MAT
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR
)	EXTENSION OF TIME
STEVEN SINCLAIR,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

Petitioner proceeds *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this habeas matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Now before the Court is petitioner’s construed motion for an extension of time. (Dkt. 20.) Having considered that motion, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

(1) Petitioner submitted a letter to the Court in which he appears to request an extension of time in which to obtain assistance. However, petitioner has no pending deadlines. Instead, respondent has a deadline to submit a response to petitioner’s pending habeas petition. (See Dkt. 16.) Accordingly, petitioner’s construed motion for an extension of time (Dkt. 20) is hereby STRICKEN from the docket.

