| 1      | 7   | THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN                       |  |
|--------|---|---|--|
| 2      |   |   |  |
| 3      |   |   |  |
| 4      |   |   |  |
| 5      |   |   |  |
| 6      |   |   |  |
| 7      | UNITED STATES D<br>WESTERN DISTRICT   |   |  |
| 8<br>9 | ANA LOPEZ DEMETRIO and FRANCISCO EUGENIO PAZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  | NO. 2:13-cv-01918-MJP                                 |  |
| 10     | Plaintiffs,   | ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'                            |  |
| 11     | v.  | MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL                             |  |
| 12     | SAKUMA BROTHERS FARMS, INC.,  | OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT<br>REGARDING CERTIFIED     |  |
| 13     | ,   | QUESTIONS AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF         |  |
| 14     | Defendant.  | ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES                          |  |
| 15     |   | NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:<br>July 8, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. |  |
| 16     |   | July 8, 2010 at 2.00 p.m.                             |  |
| 17     | WHEREAS, on April 1, 2016, this Court   | entered an Order Granting Preliminary                 |  |
| 18     | Approval of Class Action Settlement Regarding (   | Certified Questions (Dkt. #31) (the                   |  |
| 19     | "Preliminary Approval Order"); and  |   |  |
| 20     | WHEREAS, individual notice complying  | with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil            |  |
| 21     | Procedure was sent to the last-known address of each member of the Settlement Class and   |   |  |
| 22     | additional notice procedures outlined in the Motion   | on for Final Approval of Class Action                 |  |
| 23     | Settlement Regarding Certified Questions have be  | een completed; and                                    |  |
| 24     | WHEREAS, a fairness hearing on final ap   | proval of the settlement was held before the          |  |
| 25     | Court on July 8, 2016; and  |   |  |
| 26     | ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMEN REGARDING CERTIFIED QUESTIONS AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS FEES AND EXPENSES - 1 CASE No. 2:13-cv-01918-MJP |   |  |

TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com

| 1  | individual Settlement Class members. Accordingly, the Settlement Class is sufficiently   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | cohesive to warrant settlement by representation; and  |  |
| 3  | f. For purposes of Settlement, certification of the Settlement Class is  |  |
| 4  | superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient settlement of the claims of the   |  |
| 5  | Settlement Class members.  |  |
| 6  | 5. The Court has appointed Ana Lopez Demetrio and Francisco Eugenio Paz as   |  |
| 7  | representatives of the Settlement Class.   |  |
| 8  | 6. The Court has appointed Marc Cote and Toby Marshall of Terrell Marshall Law   |  |
| 9  | Group PLLC and Daniel Ford of Columbia Legal Services as Class Counsel.  |  |
| 10 | 7. No objections to the Settlement have been lodged, and no Settlement Class   |  |
| 11 | Member has opted out of the Settlement.  |  |
| 12 | 8. The terms set forth in the Settlement are approved as being fair, adequate, and   |  |
| 13 | reasonable in light of the degree of recovery obtained in relation to the risks faced by the   |  |
| 14 | Settlement Class in litigating the claims. The Settlement Class is properly certified as part of   |  |
| 15 | this Settlement. The relief provided to the Qualified Class Members who performed piecework  |  |
| 16 | in 2014 is appropriate as to the individual Qualified Class Members and the Settlement Class as  |  |
| 17 | a whole.   |  |
| 18 | 9. As part of the Settlement, Defendant agreed that Class Counsel are entitled to  |  |
| 19 | reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for the work on the certified questions and resolution of the   |  |
| 20 | 2014 rest break claims pursuant to RCW 49.48.030 but did not agree on the amount of the  |  |
| 21 | award.   |  |
| 22 | 10. This Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and  |  |
| 23 | Expenses, Defendant's Response, and Plaintiffs' Reply.   |  |
| 24 | 11. The Court awards \$235,000 in attorneys' fees, \$4,951.89 in litigation expenses,  |  |
| 25 | and \$11,747.47 in settlement notice and administration fees and costs to Class Counsel. These   |  |
| 26 | attorneys' fees and expenses are fair and reasonable under RCW 49.48.030 based on the  ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT  REGARDING CERTIFIED QUESTIONS AND  PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS'  FEES AND EXPENSES - 3  CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01918-MJP  TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC  936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  Seattle, Washington 98103-8869  TEL 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450  www.terrellmarshall.com |  |

| lodestar method. The Court reaches this conclusion after analyzing (1) the number of hours          |
|---|
| Class Counsel reasonably expended on the certified-question litigation multiplied by counsel's      |
| reasonable hourly rates; (2) the recovery Class Counsel obtained for Qualified Class Members        |
| who worked in the 2014 season as well as Class Counsel's efforts that caused Sakuma's full          |
| payment for rest breaks in the 2015 season; (3) the diligent and efficient effort utilized by Class |
| Counsel in litigating the certified questions in this Court and at the Washington Supreme Court     |
| (4) Class Counsel's substantial experience in wage and hour and complex litigation and the          |
| skill utilized to achieve the successful result in the Washington Supreme Court and in the          |
| Settlement; (5) the hurdles to certifying the Settlement Class and proving liability and damages    |
| at trial before the certified questions were presented to the Washington Supreme Court; (6) the     |
| relationship between the amount of the fee requested and the excellent result obtained for the      |
| Settlement Class; and (7) the reasonableness of the litigation costs and settlement notice and      |
| administration fees and costs incurred by Class Counsel.  |
|   |

- 12. Class Counsel reasonably expended more than 710 hours on the certified-question litigation in this Court and the Washington Supreme Court, not including hours spent on the settlement notice and administration process. Class Counsel filed detailed documentation of the time they spent investigating, litigating, researching legal issues, drafting several briefs to this Court and the Washington Supreme Court, preparing for oral argument at the Washington Supreme Court, and negotiating a settlement of the certified-question claims. Their detailed time records are based on contemporaneous records of hours worked. Class Counsel exercised billing judgment and made reductions where time arguably could be considered "unnecessarily duplicative" or could have been more efficiently spent.
- 13. Class Counsel's hourly rates—\$300 for Marc Cote, \$400 for Toby Marshall, and \$375 for Daniel Ford—are reasonable hourly rates considering these attorneys' "experience, skill and reputation," *see Trevino v. Gates*, 99 F.3d 911, 924 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting *Schwarz*

TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com

v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 73 F.3d 895, 908 (9th Cir.1995)), and considering "the

1

| 1  | (2013) ("WashCap"). Here, Plaintiffs pursued the certified-question claims under a remedial      |
|----|--|
| 2  | Washington employment statute and regulation concerning rest breaks for agricultural workers.    |
| 3  | Furthermore, Class Counsel pursued the certified-question claims on a contingency fee basis.     |
| 4  | Thus, Class Counsel assumed the risk that if they were unsuccessful, they would receive no       |
| 5  | compensation for their work on the certified questions. At the time Class Counsel decided to     |
| 6  | take on the rest break pay issues, there was no Washington case that had addressed the issues    |
| 7  | underlying the certified questions, and only one state, California, had adopted the position     |
| 8  | Plaintiffs advocated. Thus, this was an issue of first impression in Washington.                 |
| 9  | 20. In addition, a lodestar multiplier is appropriate based on the quality of work               |
| 10 | performed by Class Counsel. Class Counsel performed high-quality work, resulting in a            |
| 11 | unanimous Washington Supreme Court opinion on an issue of first impression. Class                |
| 12 | Counsel's work resulted in Defendant's agreement to provide full rest break pay to all 2014      |
| 13 | pieceworkers, plus interest, in addition to a change in Defendant's pay system that ensured all  |
| 14 | workers would receive full rest break pay beginning in 2015. This was an excellent result for    |
| 15 | the Class.   |
| 16 | 21. Defendant also "stipulate[d] and agree[d] that Plaintiffs are also entitled to               |
| 17 | reasonable costs pursuant to RCW 49.48.030 for their counsel's work on the certified             |
| 18 | questions and resolution of the 2014 rest break claims." Dkt. # 62 at 6. The litigation expenses |
| 19 | and settlement notice and administration fees and costs incurred by Class Counsel were           |
| 20 | reasonable, necessary, and appropriately documented in the declarations filed by Class           |
| 21 | Counsel. Thus, this Court finds that Class Counsel are entitled to an award for those costs      |
| 22 | totaling \$4,951.89 for reasonable litigation expenses and \$11,747.47 for reasonable settlement |
| 23 | notice and administration fees and costs.  |
| 24 | 22. The Settlement Agreement is binding on all Settlement Class Members.                         |
| 25 | 23. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall issue                     |

payment to each of the Qualified Class Members who performed piecework for Defendant in ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT REGARDING CERTIFIED QUESTIONS AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES - 6 CASE No. 2:13-CV-01918-MJP

26

| 2014 in an amount equal to (1) his or her unpaid rest break wages for the 2014 season,            |
|---|
| calculated at the worker's regular hourly rate (determined based on the average hourly rate each  |
| week from piecework) or minimum wage, whichever is higher, plus (2) prejudgment interest on       |
| the full amount of rest break wages owing to each Qualified Class Member who performed            |
| piecework in 2014 at 12% per year (from the time wages were due after each pay period until       |
| the date of this Order). In the event that any Qualified Class Members fail to cash any award     |
| checks within one year of distribution, Sakuma shall disburse such funds to the non-profit        |
| organization Catholic Community Services in Skagit County, with a request that any such           |
| funds be earmarked for farm worker assistance. The work of Catholic Community Services in         |
| Skagit County benefits low-income immigrant workers who may require legal assistance, and         |
| their work therefore serves "the objectives of the underlying statutes[] and the interests of the |
| silent class members" Lane v. Facebook, Inc., 696 F.3d 811, 819-20 (9th Cir. 2012)                |
| (internal quotation omitted).   |
|   |

- 24. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, upon final approval by the Court, the Settlement Class, including each Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a timely and valid written request to opt out of the Settlement, releases, to the extent permitted by law, Sakuma Brothers Farms, Inc., from any and all claims for alleged violations of WAC 296-131-020 that arose in 2014 and 2015.
- 25. Without affecting the finality of this Order, or the judgment to be entered pursuant hereto, in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction over the claims against Defendant for purposes of addressing: (1) any disputes arising from the Settlement Agreement; (2) settlement administration matters; and (3) such post-judgment matters as may be appropriate under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 26. The Clerk shall enter a judgment certifying the Settlement Class, finally approving the Settlement Agreement, and awarding \$235,000 in attorneys' fees, \$4,951.89 in

| 1  | litigation expenses, and \$11,747.47 in settlement notice and administration fees and costs to |
|----|--|
| 2  | Class Counsel.   |
| 3  |  |
|    | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | DATED this 8th day of July, 2016.  |
| 6  | The second   |
| 7  | Marshy Helens  |
| 8  | Marsha J. Pechman<br>United States District Judge  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | Presented by:  |
| 11 | TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | By: <u>/s/ Marc C. Cote, WSBA #39824</u> Toby J. Marshall, WSBA #32726                         |
| 14 | Email: tmarshall@terrellmarshall.com Marc C. Cote, WSBA #39824                                 |
| 15 | Email: mcote@terrellmarshall.com   |
| 16 | 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300<br>Seattle, Washington 98103                                  |
| 17 | Telephone: (206) 816-6603<br>Facsimile: (206) 319-5450   |
| 18 | raesinine. (200) 319-3430  |
| 19 | COLUMBIA LEGAL SERVICES  |
| 20 | By: /s/ Daniel G. Ford, WSBA #10903  |
| 21 | Daniel G. Ford, WSBA #10903<br>Email: dan.ford@columbialegal.org                               |
| 22 | 101 Yesler Way, Suite 300<br>Seattle, Washington 98104   |
| 23 | Telephone: (206) 464-5936  |
| 24 | Facsimile: (206) 382-3386  |
| 25 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Class   |
|    |  |
| 26 | ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  |
|    | FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT REGARDING CERTIFIED OUESTIONS AND                    |