Bass v. Colvin		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT SEATTLE	
9	DONNIE LEE BASS,	Case No. C13-2025-JPD
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
11	v.	APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND SEALING
12		PROPOSED COMPLAINT
13	CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security	
14	Administration,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis	
18	("IFP"), a proposed complaint, a "Notice to the Court and US Attorney General Regarding	
19	Social Security Appeal," and a proposed application for court-appointed counsel in the above-	
20	entitled action. Dkts. 1-3. After careful consideration of plaintiff's submissions, the governing	
21	law and the balance of the record, the Court ORDERS as follows:	
22	(1) Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP, Dkt. 1, is GRANTED. Plaintiff does not	
23	appear to have funds available to afford the \$400.00 filing fee.	
24	(2) Plaintiff's proposed complaint and notice to the Court both contain personal	
25	data identifiers that should have been redacted pursuant to LCR 5.2(a). Dkt. 1-1; Dkt. 2.	
26		
	ORDER	

PAGE - 1

Doc. 4

Specifically, in the proposed complaint the name of plaintiff's minor child should have been redacted to his initials pursuant to LCR 5.2(a)(2). Dkt. 1-1. In addition, in plaintiff's "Notice to the Court and US Attorney General Regarding Social Security Appeal," which was filed on the same date as plaintiff's proposed complaint, plaintiff failed to redact her social security number in its entirety pursuant to LCR 5.2(a)(3). Dkt. 2. As a result, the Clerk is directed to SEAL plaintiff's proposed complaint and the notice. Dkt. 1-1, Dkt. 2.

Plaintiff is directed to file a complaint that includes all her claims for relief, and has been properly redacted in accordance with the local rules of the Western District of Washington within 30 days from the date of this Order. The plaintiff is advised that this case may be subject to dismissal if she does not respond to this Order.

(3)In plaintiff's motion seeking court-appointed counsel, plaintiff asserts that she has attempted to retain attorneys to represent her by making "at least 4 calls to 4 different attorney offices." Dkt. 3 at 2. Her efforts to date, however, have been unsuccessful.

14 Generally, a plaintiff in a civil case has no right to appointed counsel. See Hernandez v. Whiting, 881 F.2d 768, 770-71 (9th Cir. 1989). The decision to appoint counsel rests within 16 "the sound discretion of the trial court and is granted only in exceptional circumstances." Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of America, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004). A finding of 18 exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). These factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel. Id.

22 Here, the Court finds that plaintiff has failed to demonstrate that exceptional 23 circumstances warrant the appointment of counsel at this time. To her credit, plaintiff has 24 established her indigence by successfully obtaining IFP status, and represents in her motion 25 that she has made efforts to retain an attorney. Dkt. 3 at 2. However, plaintiff has not 26 indicated whether her efforts to retain an attorney willing to assist her in this civil action were

ORDER PAGE - 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

aimed at practitioners who focus on social security appeals, or how recently plaintiff has
attempted to obtain representation. In addition, plaintiff does not provide compelling
arguments or evidence indicating that this case is particularly likely to succeed on the merits,
or that in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved, she is wholly unable to articulate
her claims *pro se*.

Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel, Dkt. 3, is DENIED. Plaintiff is advised that there are many attorneys willing to represent clients on a contingency fee basis in social security appeals, and this appeal involves important legal rights as to which an attorney may be very helpful to plaintiff. If plaintiff needs additional time to continue her efforts to retain counsel to represent her, she should promptly request such an extension.

(4) The Clerk is directed to send plaintiff a copy of this Order.

DATED this 2nd day of December, 2013.

James P. Donohue

JAMES P. DONOHUE United States Magistrate Judge