Starr v. Obenland Doc. 18

01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
08	BRENT T. STARR,	)
09	Petitioner,	) CASE NO. C14-0405-RAJ-MAT
10	v.	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S  MOTION FOR A PROINTMENT OF
11	MIKE OBENLAND,	) MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF ) COUNSEL AND GRANTING
12	Respondent.	) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME )
13		)
14	This is a federal habeas action filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter comes before	
15	the Court at the present time on petitioner's motions for appointment of counsel and for an	
16	extension of time to file a response to respondent's answer. Respondent has filed no response	
17	to either motion. The Court, having reviewed petitioner's motions, and the balance of the	
18	record, hereby ORDERS as follows:	
19	(1) Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 16) is DENIED. There is	
20	no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 unless an	
21	evidentiary hearing is required. See Terravona v. Kincheloe, 852 F.2d 424, 429 (9th Cir.	
22	1988); Brown v. Vasquez, 952 F.2d 1164, 1168 (9th Cir. 1992); and Rule 8(c) of the Rules	
	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTIC FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION O PAGE -1	

01	Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. The Court may exercise	
02	its discretion to appoint counsel for a financially eligible individual where the "interests of	
03	justice so require." 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. It does not appear at this juncture that an evidentiary	
04	hearing will be required in this matter and petitioner has not demonstrated that the interests of	
05	justice are best served by appointment of counsel at this time.	
06	(2) Petitioner's motion for extension of time (Dkt. 17) is GRANTED. Petitioner is	
07	directed to file and serve any response to respondent's answer not later than September 29,	
08	2014.	
09	(3) This matter is RE-NOTED on the Court's calendar for consideration on <i>October</i>	
10	3, 2014.	
11	(4) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for	
12	respondent, and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones.	
13	DATED this <u>30th</u> day of July, 2014.	
14		
15	Mary Alice Theiler	
16	Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION	

FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND

GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME PAGE -2