2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 ||

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

KENNETH WRIGHT, on his own behalf and on behalf of other similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

LYFT, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00421-BJR

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS TO THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT

This matter came before the Court on the Stipulated Motion to Certify Questions to the Washington Supreme Court. Having considered the motion, the papers filed in support of the motion, and the files and records herein, the Stipulated Motion to Certify Questions to the Washington Supreme Court is GRANTED and the following issues are hereby certified to the Washington Supreme Court under RCW 2.60.020:

1. Does the recipient of a text message that violates the Consumer Electronic Mail Act, Ch. 19.190 RCW ("CEMA"), have a private right of action for damages (as opposed to injunctive relief) directly under that statute?

1	2. Does the liquidated damages provision of CEMA, RCW 19.190.040(1), establish the causation and/or injury elements of
2	a claim under the Washington Consumer Protection Act, Ch. 19.86 RCW ("CPA"), as a matter of law or must the recipient of
3	a text message that violates CEMA first prove injury in fact
4	before he or she can recover the liquidated damage amount?
5	
6	
7	DATED this 16th day of _February_, 2017.
8	
9	ρ . A ρ
10	Barbara Pothetein
11	Barbara Jacobs Rothstein U.S. District Court Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Presented by:
19	/s/ Donald W. Heyrich Donald W. Heyrich, WSBA #23091
20	HKM ATTORNEYS
21	600 Stewart Street, Suite 901 Seattle, WA 98101
22	Tel: (206) 838-2504 Fax: (206) 260-3055
23	dheyrich@hkm.com
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff
25	
26	
27	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CERTIFY LEGAL QUESTIONS TO THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT Case No. 2:14-cv-00421-BJR - 2