Lynott et al v. Luckovich et al

Doc. 130

67

9

10

8

1112

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

20

21

19

2223

24

25

26

without a representative. Although the Deadman's Statute, RCW 5.60.030, will apply once a representative is appointed, its constraints do not apply to the resolution of this motion.

- (3) The declarations offered by the parties have been considered only to the extent the witnesses have personal knowledge of the events or statements recounted.
- (4) Larry Lynott argues that the Durable Power of Attorney granted him by his father makes him a "representative" for purposes of Rule 17(c)(1). The specific examples of "representatives" set forth in the rule, namely general guardians, committees, and conservators, all share a common characteristic: they describe persons or entities who have been duly appointed by a judicial or other public authority to represent the interests of another. Using the ejusdem generis cannon of statutory interpretation, the phrase "a like fiduciary" in Rule 17(c)(1)(D) should be interpreted in such a way that the general term is restricted to fiduciary relationships having characteristics similar to the specifically enumerated terms. Harrison v. PPG Indus., Inc., 446 U.S. 578, 588 (1980); In re U.S. for an Order Authorizing Roving Interception of Oral Communications, 349 F.3d 1132, 1142 (9th Cir. 2003). Because the Durable Power of Attorney on which Larry relies is a purely private arrangement between father and son, the Court finds that the fiduciary duties arising therefrom are not "like" for purposes of Rule 17(c)(1). See Leake v. McAlister, 2009 WL 1953446, at * 5 (S.D.N.Y. July 1, 2009) ("[A]n attorney who has been privately retained (presumably by Ms. Leake) to prosecute an action, rather than one appointed by a court or public authority to protect the rights and interests of Mr. Leake generally, is not a 'like fiduciary' for purposes of Rule 17(c)(1).").
- (5) As noted above, Frank is no longer capable of controlling or participating in this litigation. Because he is currently pursuing his claims without the benefit of a representative, the Court has a duty to "take whatever measures it deems proper to protect an incompetent person during litigation." <u>U.S. v. 30.64 Acres of Land</u>, 795 F.2d 796.805 (9th Cir. 1986). Rule 17(c)(2) specifically provides for the appointment of either a "next friend" or a guardian ad litem in these

circumstances. Appointment as a "next friend" may be appropriate if the putative friend shows 1 that the injured party "is unable to litigate his own cause due to mental incapacity, lack of access 2 to court, or other similar disability; and . . . [that] the next friend has some significant 3 relationship with, and is truly dedicated to the best interests of" the plaintiff. Coalition of Clergy, 4 Lawyers, and Professors v. Bush, 310 F.3d 1153, 1159 (9th Cir. 2002). Frank's incapacity and 5 his relationship to Larry are not in dispute. Defendant Luckovich argues, however, that Larry is 6 7 not truly dedicated to Frank's best interests, being more interested in Frank's money than his general well-being. Be that as it may, 1 Frank initiated this litigation to pursue recovery of funds 8 lent to or invested with defendant Luckovich, a purpose which is entirely consistent with the 9 10 motives and interests defendant ascribes to Larry. There is no reason to suspect that Larry's interests with regards to this litigation will diverge from the course already set by his father. The 11 Court therefore finds that appointing Larry Lynott as his father's "next friend" for the purpose of 12 13 litigating this case is appropriate. 14 15 For all of the foregoing reasons, Larry Lynott's alternative request for 16 authorization to represent Frank Lynott's interests in this litigation as a "next friend" is hereby GRANTED. 17 18 19

Dated this 24th day of April, 2015.

Robert S. Lasnik

MMS Casnik

United States District Judge

24

23

20

21

22

25

26

¹ If one or more of Frank's other children believe that Larry has breached the fiduciary duties imposed by the Durable Power of Attorney, their recourse lies outside of this litigation.