1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
5	AT SEATTLE		
6	ALEXANDER McLAREN, et al.,		
7	Appellants,		
8	V.	C14-575 TSZ	
9	PETER H. ARKISON, et al.,	ORDER	
10	Respondents.		
11	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the motion of respondents Thomas		
12	Hsueh, Triton Engineering, Inc., and Triton-America, LLC (collectively, "Triton") to		
13	dismiss this bankruptcy appeal, docket no. 53, which was joined by respondent Peter H.		
14	Arkison, trustee in the bankruptcy case of appellant Alexander McLaren, docket no. 56.		
15	Having reviewed the motion and joinder, as well as appellants' motion for extension of		
16	time, docket no. 55, and the response thereto, o	docket no. 57, the Court hereby ORDERS:	
17	(1) Appellants' motion for extension	n of time, docket no. 55, is DENIED.	
18	Appellants' opening brief was due on June 30, 2015. Appellants did not seek an		
19	extension of this due date until August 3, 2015, over a month after the deadline had		
20	expired and almost three weeks after Triton moved to dismiss the appeal for failure to		
21	prosecute. Appellants' request for an extension is untimely. <u>See</u> Local Civil Rule 7(j).		
22	prosecute. Appenditis request for an extensio	n is unumery. <u>See</u> Local Civil Kule /(j).	
23			

ORDER - 1

1 Moreover, appellants' motion is without merit. Even if appellant Alexander McLaren 2 had medical reasons for not timely submitting an opening brief, appellants Thomas 3 McLaren and Ruth Edwards cannot rely on such grounds and have provided no excuse for failing to file an opening brief.¹ Alexander McLaren's contention that his health 4 5 impeded his ability to timely file an opening brief is unsupported by credible evidence. 6 The hand-written note from Reza Hosseini Ghomi, MD, a copy of which is attached to 7 appellants' motion for extension, see docket no. 55 at 7, is not dated, does not indicate 8 when or why Alexander McLaren was hospitalized, and provides no timeframe for the 9 90-day period during which he "should avoid physical and mental exertion." The hand-10 written note is also not consistent with Alexander McLaren's assertion that he was 11 hospitalized for a "life-threatening pulmonry [sic] condition," Mtn. at 3 (docket no. 55), 12 given that Dr. Ghomi is a resident physician at the University of Washington in the 13 Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Department, see http://www.rezahosseinighomi.com/ 14 about.html; see also Resp. at 9 (docket no. 57), and is not a pulmonologist, primary care 15 physician, or similar practitioner.

16

17

- 22
- 23

¹ The additional appeals that Thomas McLaren and Ruth Edwards have recently filed do not provide any basis for delaying the briefing schedule in this matter, particularly when one of those cases has already been dismissed for failure to prosecute, *see* Order (docket no. 6, C15-741-MJP), an order to show cause has been issued in another matter, *see* Order (docket no. 6, C15-740-JCC), and notices of deficiency have been entered in the two other appeals, *see* Notice (docket no. 4, C15-809-RSM); Notice (docket no. 4, C15-810-JCC). Among the deficiencies identified in each of the four recent appeals was the failure to the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the failure of the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the failure to file of the deficiencies of the four recent appeals was the failure to the failure to file of the failure to fil

²¹ pay the requisite filing fee. To the extent Thomas McLaren and Ruth Edwards seek to have the appellate filing fees waived, they must file motions in the appropriate cases; the undersigned cannot grant relief in cases assigned to other judges.

1	(2)	Respondents' motion to dismiss appeal, docket nos. 53 & 56, is	
2	GRANTED.	This appeal is DISMISSED for failure to prosecute.	
3	(3)	The Clerk is DIRECTED to CLOSE this case and to send a copy of this	
4	Order to all counsel of record and to appellants pro se.		
5	IT IS	SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated	this 19th day of August, 2015.	
7		\frown	
8		Thomas S Filly	
9		Thomas S. Zilly	
10		United States District Judge	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
	ORDER - 3		
	1		