Kinzle v. Obenland

Doc. 74

F.3d at 1178-79. While Petitioner argues that there are compelling reasons to seal the exhibit given the sensitive and confidential nature of the information contained in the document, the substantive portion of the exhibit is actually set forth verbatim in Petitioner's reply brief. (See Dkt. # 71 at 18.) The only information contained in the document which might therefore be deemed sensitive or confidential is Petitioner's date of birth which should be redacted pursuant to LCR 5.2(a). Petitioner has not demonstrated that sealing exhibit A-115 is either necessary or

Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

- Petitioner's motion to seal Exhibit A-115 (Dkt. #72) is DENIED. Petitioner may re-file the exhibit in a manner that complies with LCR 5.2(a) no later than April 19, 2019. The exhibit currently on file with the Court will remain under seal until that date.
- The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to

DATED this 16th day of April, 2019.

MICHELLE L. PETERSON United States Magistrate Judge

MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBIT A-115 OF PETITIONER'S REPLY - 2