

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DEVAUGHN DORSEY,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Respondent.

Case No. C14-938-RSL

ORDER REQUESTING
RESPONSE AND
RENOTING PETITIONER'S
MOTION


14
15 This matter comes before the Court on petitioner Devaughn Dorsey's "Motion for
16 Certificate of Appealability." (Dkt. # 77). On November 12, 2021, the Court entered an Order
17 denying petitioner's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
18 § 2255 (Dkt. # 75) (the "Habeas Order"). The Habeas Order also addressed petitioner's
19 numerous motions to amend his § 2255 motion and other related motions for relief. See Dkt.
20 # 75 at 29. However, the Habeas Order was silent on petitioner's entitlement to a certificate of
21 appealability. See generally id.

22 The Court may direct the parties to submit arguments on whether a certificate of
23 appealability should issue. Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings, Rule 11(a); see also
24 Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 100(a). The Court requests a response from the government,
25 which may be filed no later than April 4, 2022. Petitioner may file a reply no later than April 8,
26
27
28

ORDER REQUESTING RESPONSE AND
RENOTING PETITIONER'S MOTION - 1

1 2022. The Clerk of Court is directed to renote petitioner's Motion for Certificate of
2 Appealability (Dkt. # 77) to April 8, 2022.

3 DATED this 18th day of March, 2022.

4
5 
6 Robert S. Lasnik
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28