

1 THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK

2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 WEISS-JENKINS IV, LLC, a Washington
10 limited liability company,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 UTRECHT MANUFACTURING
14 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and
15 DICK BLICK HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware
16 corporation,

17 Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-00954-RSL

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

18 **SUMMARY OF JUDGMENT**

- 19 1. Judgment Creditor: Weiss-Company IV, L.L.C., f/k/a Weiss-Jenkins IV
20 LLC
- 21 2. Judgment Creditor's Attorney: Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
22 Rhys M. Farren
23 777 108th Avenue NE, Suite 2300
24 Bellevue, WA 98004-5149
- 25 3. Judgment Debtors: Dick Blick Holdings, Inc.; and
26 Utrecht Manufacturing Corporation
- 27 4. Judgment Debtor's Attorney: Stephen C. Willey
Savitt Bruce & Willey LLP
1425 Fourth Avenue, Suite 800
Seattle, WA 98101-2272
5. Judgment Amount: **\$826,483.93**

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT
(2:14-cv-00954-RSL) - 1
DWT 30127522v1 0094613-000009

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
LAW OFFICES
777 108th Avenue NE, Suite 2300
Bellevue, WA 98004-5149
425.646.6100 main · 425.646.6199 fax

- 1 6. Prejudgment Interest: **\$137,063.13**
2 7. Post-Judgment Interest Rate: *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1961
3 8. Attorneys' Fees: **\$170,888.50**
4 9. Costs: **\$484.98**

5 **BASIS OF JUDGMENT**

6 The parties to this Amended Final Judgment are Plaintiff Weiss-Jenkins IV, a
7 Washington limited liability company, now known as Weiss-Company IV, L.L.C. ("Weiss
8 Company"); and Defendants Utrecht Manufacturing Corporation ("Utrecht") and Dick Blick
9 Holdings, Inc. ("Blick") (together, "Utrecht/Blick").
10

11 This Court previously entered a Final Judgment in favor of Weiss Company (the "Final
12 Judgment") [ECF No. 90]. The Final Judgment set forth in detail the history of the relevant
13 rulings and orders of this Court, including the Order Granting in Part Plaintiff's Motion for
14 Partial Summary Judgment (Sept. 14, 2015) [ECF No. 56] (reconsideration of which was also
15 denied under the Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative,
16 for Certification (Oct. 1, 2015) [ECF No. 58]); the Order Granting in Part Plaintiff's Motion for
17 Summary Judgment (May 24, 2016) [ECF No. 83]; and the Final Judgment. A description of
18 the related motions, the court papers filed in support and in opposition to the motions and the
19 procedural history of this case is set forth within the Basis of Judgment section of the Final
20 Judgment, which is incorporated herein by this reference and not repeated here.
21

22 Weiss Company is the prevailing party entitled to attorneys' fees under the parties'
23 contract. Prior to entry of the Final Judgment, Weiss Company timely filed a Motion for
24 Attorneys' Fees [ECF No. 86] ("Fee Motion"). The Court reviewed the following pleadings in
25 support of and in opposition to the Fee Motion:
26
27

1. Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs [ECF No. 86];
2. Declaration of Rhys Farren in Support of Motion for Award of Fees and accompanying exhibits [ECF No. 87];
3. Declaration of Peter Gowell in Support of Motion for Award of Fees and accompanying exhibits [ECF No. 88];
4. Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs [ECF No. 93];
5. Declaration of Stephen Willey in Support of Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs [ECF No. 94];
6. Plaintiff's Reply on Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees [ECF No. 95];
7. Declaration of Boris Gaviria in Support of Plaintiff's Reply on Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees [ECF No. 96]; and
8. Declaration of Rhys Farren in Support of Plaintiff's Reply on Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees [ECF No. 97].

The Court conducted an independent review of Weiss Company's counsel's time records, the reasonableness of counsel's rates, the billing records and reasonableness of time spent on entries and considered the objections of Utrecht/Blick. The Court then entered an Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees (July 12, 2016) [ECF No. 103], awarding Weiss Company reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$170,888.50. In addition, the Clerk awarded costs in the amount of \$484.98 [ECF 100]. In the Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees, the Court permitted Weiss Company to amend the judgment to include the award of attorneys' fees.

NOW THEREFORE,

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The previous Final Judgment [ECF No. 90] shall remain in effect as a judgment of this Court except as specifically amended herein.

1 2. Judgment is entered in favor of Weiss-Company IV, L.L.C., f/k/a Weiss-Jenkins
2 IV LLC (“Weiss Company”) against Defendants Utrecht Manufacturing Corporation and Dick
3 Blick Holdings, Inc. (“Utrecht/Blick”), jointly and severally, for principal in the amount of
4 **\$826,483.93.**

5 3. Judgment is further entered in favor of Weiss Company against Utrecht/Blick,
6 jointly and severally, for prejudgment interest in the amount of **\$137,063.13.**¹

7 4. Judgment is further entered in favor of Weiss Company against Utrecht/Blick,
8 jointly and severally, for attorneys’ fees in the amount of **\$170,888.50.**

9 5. Judgment is further entered in favor of Weiss Company against Utrecht/Blick,
10 jointly and severally, for costs in the amount of **\$484.98.**

11 6. The Judgment on all amounts set forth above shall bear interest at the highest
12 rate allowed under 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

13 7. This Amended Final Judgment shall be final under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and
14 appealable.

15 Dated this 9th day of September, 2016.

16
17
18
19 
20 THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK
21 United States District Court Judge

22
23
24
25 ¹ The Final Judgment at ECF No. 90 awarded prejudgment interest in favor of Weiss Company in the amount of
26 \$135,427.37 “as of May 31, 2016, plus continuing prejudgment interest at the per diem rate of \$204.47 to accrue
27 on the principal judgment amount each day after May 31, 2016 until final judgment is entered.” Because Final
Judgment was entered eight days later on June 8, 2016, the additional accrued prejudgment interest added is
\$1,635.76.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Presented by:

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff Weiss-Jenkins IV LLC

By: /s/ Rhys M. Farren
Rhys M. Farren, WSBA #19398
777 108th Avenue NE, Suite 2300
Bellevue, WA 98004
Telephone: (425) 646-6100
Facsimile: (425) 646-6199
Email: rhysfarren@dwt.com