

1 interpretation of the original policy is excluded. Interpretation of the current policy at issue is a
2 matter for the Court, and, therefore, not an appropriate subject of discovery. The Court also
3 excludes from discovery the questions of AIG's re-insurance and reserves.

4 Plaintiff is entitled to pursue discovery surrounding AIG's affirmative defenses, including
5 those involving notice and statute of limitations. The Court anticipates that with the parameters
6 set forth above, the discovery Plaintiff seeks will be neither extensive nor costly.

7 Dated this 13th day of February, 2018.
8

9 

10
11

12 BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25