		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT SEATTLE	
9	CHASE CONSTRUCTION NORTH WEST INC.,	
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C15-19RAJ
11	v.	ORDER
12	AIX SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	This matter comes before the court on Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt.	
16	# 31) of the court's June 23, 2015 order granting Defendant's motion for summary	
17	judgment.	
18	A motion for reconsideration must demonstrate either "manifest error in the prior	
19	ruling" or "new facts or legal authority [that] could not have been brought to [the court's]	
20	attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(1).	
21	Plaintiff's motion meets neither standard, and the court accordingly DENIES it.	
22	Plaintiff correctly points out that the "Condo Exclusion" that was the focus of the	
23	court's order, even under Plaintiff's interpretation, would not cover losses arising from	
24	new construction on condominiums. In that sense, the exclusion is not nugatory under	
25	//	
26	//	
27	ODDED 1	
28	ORDER – 1	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	١

Plaintiff's construction. Nonetheless, the court find no error in its conclusion that Plaintiff's interpretation renders the exclusion absurd.

DATED this 14th day of July, 2015.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Court Judge

Richard A Jones

28