1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	TRANSAMERICA PREMIER LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,	CASE NO. C15-277 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART, DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
12	V.	MOTION FOR INTERPLEADER RELIEF
13	VICKIE DARLENE ROLDAN, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	THIS MATTER comes before the Court or	n Plaintiff-in-Interpleader Transamerica
17	L L	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	detail below. The Court issues a permanent injunc	ction preventing Defendants from proceeding

with any claim against Transamerica or its predecessors or successors with respect to their
 entitlement to the insurance policy benefits at issue.

3 4

Background

4 This action concerns a life insurance policy (Certificate Number 010XC98895) issued by 5 Transamerica's predecessor to Darlene Brown, with a face value of \$5,070.00. (Dkt. Nos. 1, 2.) 6 Darlene Brown died on October 6, 2014, and Transamerica became obligated to pay the face 7 value of the policy and a partial premium refund to the proper beneficiary. Transamerica received competing claims to the benefits of the policy from Defendants Chatelain and Roldan. 8 9 Defendant Turpin did not submit a claim, though Transamerica suspects he may be entitled to do 10 so. Transamerica then instituted this interpleader action seeking judicial resolution of the dispute 11 as well as an injunction preventing Defendants from bringing separate suits against 12 Transamerica.

Transamerica now moves the court for an order compelling Defendants to interplead their
claims to the policy benefits, dismissing Transamerica from the case, and enjoining Defendants.
(Dkt. No. 12.)

16

17

Discussion

I. Interpleader Relief

In an interpleader action, the "stakeholder" of a sum of money sues all those who might
have claim to the money, deposits the money in the Court's registry, and lets the claimants
litigate who is entitled to the money. <u>Cripps v. Life Ins. Co. of N. Am.</u>, 980 F.2d 1261, 1265
(9th Cir. 1992). 28 U.S.C. § 1335 provides for federal jurisdiction over interpleader actions in
which any two claimants to the fund are of diverse citizenship and there is \$500 or more at stake.
Once the action has been initiated, the Court may dismiss the stakeholder from the case and

24

enjoin the claimants from separately suing the stakeholder over the same policy benefits. 28
 U.S.C. § 2361.

3 The Court finds that Transamerica is entitled to interpleader relief. Here, the fund 4 exceeds \$500 and has been deposited in the Court's registry, and the three potential claimants are 5 of diverse citizenship: Defendant Roldan is a citizen of Washington, Defendant Chatelain is a 6 citizen of Colorado, and Defendant Turpin is a citizen of Kansas. Accordingly, Transamerica's 7 Motion for Interpleader Relief is GRANTED and Transamerica is DISMISSED from the case. 8 Defendants Roldan, Chatelain, and Turpin are hereby ORDERED to interplead their 9 claims to the insurance policy benefits. To do so, each Defendant should submit a brief of no 10 more than ten (10) pages to the Court that sets forth why he or she is entitled to the money under 11 the policy. The briefs should be submitted no later than forty-five (45) days after the date of 12 this order. Failure to file a brief with the Court in response to this order may be considered by 13 the Court as signifying that you do not claim the policy benefits. If Defendants require an 14 extension of time to file their briefs, or would like to request other relief from the Court, 15 Defendants should request an extension in writing by filing a motion with the Court. The Court 16 directs Defendants to the Western District of Washington's Pro Se Guide for litigants who are 17 proceeding without an attorney, available on the Court's website at 18 http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/pro-se, for instructions on how to file their briefs and other 19 motions, and for other useful information. 20 Defendant Roldan has written to the Court regarding Transamerica's motion for 21 interpleader relief. (Dkt. No. 15.) Defendant Roldan may choose to submit a second brief 22 setting forth her entitlement to the policy benefits, according to the guidelines discussed above, if

23 24 she wishes. If Defendant Roldan chooses not to submit a second brief, her first letter will be
 considered by the Court when determining who is entitled to the policy benefits.

3

II. Attorney's Fees and Costs

4 After consideration of the type and amount of investigative and legal work performed by 5 Transamerica, the small size of the fund at issue, and Defendant Roldan's opposition to a fee award, the Court finds that an award of attorney's fees and costs is neither warranted nor in the 6 7 interest of justice in this case. Resolving disputed claims is "part of the ordinary course of business for an insurance company," and the Court will not transfer the ordinary costs of doing 8 9 business to the claimants under a disputed policy simply because the insurer brought an interpleader action. Mutual of Omaha v. Dalby, 531 F.Supp. 511, 517 (E.D. Pa. 1982). 10 11 Defendant's request for attorney's fees and costs is DENIED.

12

Conclusion

13 The Court GRANTS in part and DENIES in part the motion. Plaintiff's request for 14 interpleader relief is GRANTED and Plaintiff is hereby DISMISSED from this action. 15 Plaintiff's request for attorney's fees and costs is DENIED. Defendants-in-Interpleader Roldan, 16 Turpin, and Chatelain are ORDERED to interplead their claims to the insurance proceeds at 17 issue according to the procedure outlined above, the briefs to be filed no later than forty-five (45) 18 days after the date of this order. Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined from separately 19 suing Transamerica with respect to their entitlement to the insurance policy benefits at issue. 20 The clerk is ORDERED to provide copies of this order to all counsel and to Defendants 21 Roldan, Chatelain, and Turpin.

22

/

- 23
- 24

ORDER GRANTING IN PART, DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR INTERPLEADER RELIEF- 4

1	Dated this 14th day of August, 2015.
2	
3	γ
4	Marshuf Helens
5	Marsha J. Pechman Chief United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	ORDER GRANTING IN PART, DENYING IN

PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR

INTERPLEADER RELIEF- 5