Microsoft Co	poration v. Internal Revenue Service			Doc. 45		
	Case 2:15-cv-00369-RSM Docu	ment 45 Filed 03	/18/20 Page 1 of 5			
		HONOPAE	HEDICADDOS MADTINEZ	,		
1	HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON					
9	AT S	EATTLE				
10	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,					
11	Plaintiff,	NO. 2:15-cv-003				
12	vs.		REPORT AND ORDER ASE SCHEDULE			
13	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE,					
14	Defendant.					
15						
16	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	NO. 2:15-cv-008	50 RSM			
17	Plaintiff,					
18	vs.					
19	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE,					
20	Defendant.					
21						
22	The above-captioned actions are for declaratory and injunctive relief under the Freedom					
23	of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended, and the Administrative Procedure					
24	Act, 5 U.S.C. § 701 et seq. The parties jointly request that these actions remain open. The					
25						
	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND ORDER REGARDIN	NGCASE	LAW OFFICES			
	SCHEDULE- 1 (Case No. 2:15-cv-00369 RSM) CALFO EAKES & OSTROVSKY LLP 1301 SECOND A VENUE, SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101					
			TEL (206) 407-2200 FAX (206) 407-2224 Dock	ets.Justia.com		

parties agree to adopt the following procedures to resolve their outstanding disputes in these
 actions:

The parties agree that the Defendant shall complete a *Vaughn* index for all records
 that had been withheld in full based on the 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) exemption. *See Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). To the extent that the Defendant previously asserted an
 alternative basis (other than the 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) exemption) for withholding the record in
 full, the Defendant need not include the record on the *Vaughn* index. To the extent that the
 Defendant takes the position that the record is exempt from disclosure based on an exemption
 (other than the 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) exemption) that the Defendant did not previously assert, the
 Defendant will include an entry in the *Vaughn* index for such record.

2. The parties propose adoption of the following schedule for both FOIA cases:

SCHEDULE				
Event	Date			
Defendant to provide declaration(s) concerning the adequacy of its search to the Plaintiff by:	August 31, 2020			
Defendant to complete <i>Vaughn</i> index and provide to the Plaintiff by:	November 30, 2020			
Plaintiff to complete list of challenges to Defendant's exemption claims on records withheld in part and provide to Defendant by:	November 30, 2020			
Plaintiff to identify any remaining outstanding issues requiring briefing to Defendant by:	February 14, 2021			
Defendant's motions for summary judgment (or stipulated dismissals) filed with the Court by:	March 31, 2021			

3. Without prejudice to the Plaintiff's right to raise additional issues pursuant to the schedule set forth in paragraph 2, the parties presently anticipate that any dispute requiring

25 briefing may be limited to the following issues:

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE- 2 (Case No. 2:15-cv-00369 RSM)

1	a. Whether records created and/or assembled by the law firm Quinn Emanuel					
2	Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, pursuant to its contract with the Defendant for the provision					
3	of services, constitute agency records under FOIA.					
4	b. Whether the Defendant cor	b. Whether the Defendant conducted an adequate search of the hard drive of				
5	Samuel Maruca, Defendant's former Direct	Samuel Maruca, Defendant's former Director of Transfer Pricing Operations.				
6	c. Whether the Defendant's h	handling of Mr. Maruca's hard drive rendered				
7	Defendant's search of that hard drive "unreasonable" as to Mr. Maruca's records.					
8	d. Whether the Defendant properly withheld records (in whole or in part)					
9	based on a claim that such records were exempt from disclosure under 5 U.S.C. §					
10	552(b)(5).					
11	The parties jointly request that these actions remain open and that the Court enter an					
12	order adopting the case schedule proposed in paragraph 2.					
13	Respectfully submitted this 17th day of March, 2020.					
14						
15	BAKER & McKENZIE LLP	CALFO EAKES & OSTROVSKY LLP				
16	By: <u>s/ Daniel A. Rosen</u>	By: s/ Patricia A. Eakes				
17	Daniel A. Rosen, NYBA #2790442 Pro Hac Vice	By: <u>s/Andrea D. Ostrovsky</u> Patricia A. Eakes, WSBA #18888				
18	452 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10018	Andrea D. Ostrovsky, WSBA #37749 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2800				
19	Tel: (212) 626-4272 Fax: (212) 310-1600	Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: (206) 407-2200				
20	Email: <u>daniel.rosen@bakermckenzie.com</u>	Fax: (206) 407-2224				
21		Email: <u>pattye@calfoeakes.com</u> <u>andreao@calfoeakes.com</u>				
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation					
23						
24						
25						

(Case No. 2:15-cv-00369 RSM)

	Case 2:15-cv-00369-RSM	Document 45	Filed 03/18/20	Page 4 of 5
1	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
2	By:s/ Richard J. Hagerman			
3	Richard J. Hagerman			
4	Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice			
	Post Office Box 227			
5	Washington, DC 20044 Tel: (202) 616-9832			
6	Fax: (202) 514-6866			
7	Email: <u>richard.j.hagerman@usdoj.</u> g	<u>gov</u>		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Internal Reven	ue Service		
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND ORDER REG SCHEDULE- 4 (Case No. 2:15-cv-00369 RSM)	ARDING CASE	1301 SEC SEATT	LAW OFFICES XKES & OSTROVSKY LLP OND AVENUE, SUITE 2800 LE, WASHINGTON 98101 07-2200 FAX (206) 407-2224

<u>ORDER</u>

It is SO ORDERED this 18 day of March, 2020.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP

By: <u>s/ Daniel A. Rosen</u> Daniel A. Rosen, NYBA #2790442 *Pro Hac Vice* 452 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10018 Tel: (212) 626-4272 Fax: (212) 310-1600 Email: <u>daniel.rosen@bakermckenzie.com</u>

CALFO EAKES & OSTROVSKY LLP

By: s/ Patricia A. Eakes

By: s/Andrea D. Ostrovsky Patricia A. Eakes, WSBA #18888 Andrea D. Ostrovsky, WSBA #37749 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: (206) 407-2200 Fax: (206) 407-2224 Email: pattye@calfoeakes.com andreao@calfoeakes.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

19

By: <u>s/ Richard J. Hagerman</u> Richard J. Hagerman Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 227 Washington, DC 20044 Tel: (202) 616-9832 Fax: (202) 514-6866 Email: <u>richard.j.hagerman@usdoj.gov</u>

Attorneys for Defendant Internal Revenue Service

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE- 5 (Case No. 2:15-cv-00369 RSM)