

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MEGAN GRAHAM,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CITY OF FEDERAL WAY,

12 Defendant.

C15-387 TSZ

MINUTE ORDER

13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
14 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:

15 (1) Plaintiff has pleaded only one cause of action against defendant South  
16 Correctional Entity Multijurisdictional Misdemeanant Jail (“SCORE”), namely a state  
17 law claim of intentional infliction of emotional distress under the theory of vicarious  
18 liability, also known as respondeat superior. *See* Complaint at ¶ 172 (docket no. 2). As a  
19 result, the Court STRIKES in part as unnecessary SCORE’s motion for summary  
20 judgment, docket no. 38, as to all claims except the claim for intentional infliction of  
21 emotional distress. With regard to the claim of intentional infliction of emotional  
22 distress, SCORE’s motion for summary judgment, docket no. 38, is DENIED without  
23 prejudice because issues of material fact are present.

(2) Plaintiff’s motion to compel discovery, docket no. 35, is STRICKEN in  
part as moot, DENIED in part, and GRANTED in part as follows:

(a) As to Request for Production No. 12, plaintiff’s motion is  
STRICKEN as MOOT. SCORE has already provided plaintiff with a copy of all  
materials referenced by this request.

1 (b) As to Interrogatory No. 2, plaintiff's motion is DENIED without  
2 prejudice. The interrogatory and plaintiff's motion were premature because they  
predated the deadline for disclosure of expert witnesses.

3 (c) As to Interrogatories Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, and 19 and Requests for  
4 Production Nos. 2 and 3, plaintiff's motion is DENIED. Plaintiff has not alleged a  
5 *Monell* claim against SCORE. See *Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs. of City of New*  
6 *York*, 436 U.S. 658 (1978). Therefore, information and materials related to the  
7 policies and procedures of SCORE are not relevant to any claims or defenses in  
8 this action.

9 (d) As to Interrogatories Nos. 12 and 17 and Request for Production  
10 No. 5, plaintiff's motion is DENIED in part, as to all employees except the  
11 defendants named in the complaint. It is further DENIED and SCORE is not  
12 required to produce "evaluative summaries, disciplinary recommendations and  
13 intra-departmental memoranda regarding discipline." See *Mueller v. Walker*, 124  
14 F.R.D. 654, 657 (D. Or. 1989) or relating to sexual misconduct. The motion is  
15 GRANTED in part as to excessive use of force or failure to produce medical care  
16 as to the named defendants for the past 5 years.

17 (e) As to Interrogatory No. 24, plaintiff's motion is DENIED. The  
18 burden of responding to this interrogatory greatly outweighs any possible  
19 relevance. See *Edwards v. Clay*, 2006 WL 3437901 at \*1 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 29,  
20 2006) (finding a request for "any and all grievances received by the defendants or  
21 their agents concerning defendants' treatment of all prisoners that are in and under  
22 their care" to be overly broad).

23 (f) As to Request for Production No. 13, plaintiff's motion is DENIED.  
This request does not describe "with reasonable particularity" the information  
sought by plaintiff. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(1)(A).

(g) As to Interrogatories Nos. 10 and 15 and Requests for Production  
Nos. 4, 8, and 11, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED.

(h) As to Interrogatory No. 11, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED in part  
as follows. SCORE shall identify and describe any lawsuits brought against it, in  
the last 5 years, premised on the use of excessive force or the deprivation of  
medical attention by one or more of its employees. SCORE need not provide  
information related to lawsuits premised on complaints of sexual misconduct.

(i) As to Interrogatory No. 13, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED in part  
as follows. SCORE shall state whether any of its employees have resigned or  
been terminated, in the last 5 years, for excessive use of force or failure to provide

1 medical care. SCORE need not provide information pertaining to employees who  
2 have resigned or been terminated for sexual misconduct.

3 (j) As to Interrogatory No. 16, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED in part  
4 as follows. SCORE shall provide information regarding internal investigations,  
5 concerning the defendant officers named in the Complaint, docket no. 2, involving  
6 excessive force and/or withholding of medical care. *See Mueller v. Walker*, 124  
F.R.D. 654, 658 (D. Or. 1989) ("Documents relating to administrative complaints  
against defendant officers regarding the incident in this case or regarding past  
incidents" are relevant discovery). SCORE need not provide information  
regarding internal investigations involving other employees.

7 (k) As to Interrogatory No. 18, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED in part  
8 as follows. SCORE shall identify and describe the education, training, and/or  
9 experience required for an appointment as a correctional officer in the positions  
currently held by the defendant officers named in the Complaint, docket no. 2.  
SCORE need not provide information regarding the education, training, and/or  
experience requirements of its other employees.

10 (l) As to Request for Production No. 1, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED  
11 in part as follows. SCORE shall produce personnel files for each of the defendant  
12 officers named in the Complaint, docket no. 2. SCORE need not provide  
13 personally identifying information (*i.e.*, addresses, telephone numbers, social  
security numbers), and may redact such information from any documents it  
produces. SCORE need not provide personnel files for its other employees.

14 (m) As to Request for Production No. 6, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED  
15 in part as follows. SCORE shall produce a record of any and all complaints, made  
16 in the last 5 years, against the defendant officers named in the Complaint, docket  
no. 2. SCORE need not produce records of complaints which concern only its  
other employees.

17 (n) As to Request for Production No. 7, plaintiff's motion is GRANTED  
18 in part as follows. SCORE shall produce all internal investigations, occurring  
19 within the last 5 years, concerning claims of excessive force or deprivation of  
medical care involving the defendant officers named in the Complaint, docket no.  
2. SCORE need not produce internal investigations pertaining to other bases for  
investigation or concerning its other employees.

20 (o) Plaintiff's request for attorney's fees, costs, and sanctions is  
21 DENIED.  
22  
23

1 (3) SCORE shall provide answers to interrogatories and produce documents in  
2 response to requests for production, as specified in ¶¶ (2)(d), (g)–(n), above, within 30  
3 days of the date of this Minute Order.

4 (4) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of  
5 record.

6 Dated this 31st day of March, 2016.

7 William M. McCool  
8 Clerk

9 s/Karen Dews  
10 Deputy Clerk