

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE**

CHERYL KATER and SUZIE KELLY,  
individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED, a  
Kentucky corporation, and BIG FISH GAMES,  
INC., a Washington corporation.

*Defendants.*

No. 15-cv-00612-RSL

**UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING SETTLEMENT  
DEADLINES BY 35 DAYS**

MANASA THIMMEGOWDA, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

BIG FISH GAMES, INC., a Washington  
corporation; ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES  
INC., a Nevada corporation; ARISTOCRAT  
LEISURE LIMITED, an Australian corporation;  
and CHURCHILL DOWNS INCORPORATED,  
a Kentucky corporation,

*Defendants.*

No. 19-cv-00199-RSL

**UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING SETTLEMENT  
DEADLINES BY 35 DAYS**

Case No. 15-cv-00612-RSL

Doc. 543

1 SEAN WILSON, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

2 *Plaintiff,*

3  
4 v.

5 PLAYTIKA LTD, an Israeli limited company,  
and CAESARS INTERACTIVE  
6 ENTERTAINMENT, LLC, a Delaware limited  
7 liability company,

8 *Defendants.*

9 SEAN WILSON, individually and on behalf of  
10 all others similarly situated,

11 *Plaintiff,*

12 v.

13  
14 HUUUGE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

15 *Defendant.*

No. 18-cv-5277-RSL

**UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING SETTLEMENT  
DEADLINES BY 35 DAYS**

No. 18-cv-05276-RSL

**UNOPPOSED MOTION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING SETTLEMENT  
DEADLINES BY 35 DAYS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## UNOPPOSED MOTION

Since the Court entered preliminary approval orders in the above-captioned cases, Class Counsel have worked diligently to keep all settlements on-track. Those efforts have included issuing subpoenas to six technology platforms—Apple, Google, Amazon, Facebook, Microsoft, and Samsung—that possess information necessary to create Class Lists, engaging in dozens of telephonic meet and confers with counsel for the technology platforms, and reaching agreements with the platforms on the vast majority of information necessary to effectuate notice and administer these settlements.

Unfortunately, despite these diligent efforts, neither Class Counsel nor the Settlement Administrators yet possess all information necessary to properly send notice on October 5—the present Notice Date. A synopsis of the status of Class List creation efforts follows:

- **Apple:** for *Kater, Thimmegowda, and Wilson v. Playtika*, Apple has agreed to produce all necessary information, and class counsel anticipate production within three weeks. Apple has also agreed to produce information in *Wilson v. Huuuge*, but that time table is uncertain.
- **Google:** for *Kater, Thimmegowda, and Wilson v. Playtika*, Google has agreed to produce all necessary information, and class counsel anticipate production within three weeks. Discussions are ongoing as to *Wilson v. Huuuge*.
- **Facebook:** for *Kater, Thimmegowda, and Wilson v. Playtika*, Facebook has agreed to produce all necessary information, and class counsel anticipate production within three weeks. Discussions are ongoing as to *Wilson v. Huuuge*.
- **Microsoft:** Microsoft has agreed to produce all necessary information, and Class Counsel anticipate production within three weeks.
- **Samsung:** Samsung, which has an extremely limited role, is making good faith efforts to search for and produce any relevant information it possesses, but has not yet located any such information.
- **Amazon:** Amazon has not agreed to produce any information. Class Counsel and Amazon have fully briefed a motion to compel in the *Kater* case. Amazon has agreed that the Court’s ruling as to Plaintiffs’ motion to compel in the *Kater* case will control Amazon’s production in the *Thimmegowda* and *Wilson v. Playtika* case. Discussions are ongoing as to *Wilson v. Huuuge*.



1 **ORDER**

2 Plaintiffs' unopposed motion is **GRANTED**. For each of the above-captioned cases, the  
3 Court sets the following amended deadlines and dates:

- 4
- 5 • **Notice Date:** November 9, 2020
  - 6 • **Reminder Notice:** December 5, 2020
  - 7 • **Deadline to File Motions for Final Approval, for Incentive Awards, and for  
Attorneys' Fees and Costs:** December 14, 2020
  - 8 • **Claims Deadline:** January 4, 2021
  - 9 • **Objection/Exclusion Deadline:** January 4, 2021
  - 10 • **Final Approval Hearing:** February 11, 2021, at 1:30 p.m. unless changed by further  
11 order of the Court.
- 12

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14

15 DATED this 5th day of October, 2020.

16   
17 ROBERT S. LASNIK  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27