1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEA	TTLE
10	VINCENT PAUL MELENDREZ,	CASE NO. C15-917-MJP-BAT
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DECLINING TO ADOPT
12	v.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	MICHAEL COMPSTON, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and	
17	Recommendation of the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida, United States Magistrate Judge. (Dkt.	
18	No. 48.) Having considered the Report and Recor	nmendation, Plaintiff's Objections, and all
19	related papers, the Court declines to adopt the Rep	ort and Recommendation.
20	Background	
21	Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, objects the Re	port and Recommendation's conclusion that
22	Plaintiff has failed to allege facts from which it can reasonably be inferred that Defendant John	
23	Caster conspired or acted jointly with state actors	to deprive Plaintiff of his constitutional rights.
24	(Dkt. No. 48.) Plaintiff argues that a number of fa	acts demonstrate that Caster, a private

1	individual and fellow inmate, conspired or entered into joint action with state actor correctional
2	officer Defendants, and thus that Caster can be liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Id.)
3	Discussion
4	I. Legal Standard
5	Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court must resolve de novo any part of the Magistrate
6	Judge's Report and Recommendation that has been properly objected to and may accept, reject,
7	or modify the recommended disposition. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); see also 28 U.S.C. §
8	636(b)(1).
9	II. Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and Recommendation
10	Private individuals are liable under § 1983 only when they have conspired or entered into
11	joint action with a state actor. See Radcliffe v. Rainbow Const. Co., 254 F.3d 772, 783 (9th Cir.
12	2001). Plaintiff argues that a conspiracy existed between inmate Caster and correctional officer
13	Defendants Compston and Young to cause harm to Plaintiff in violation of his constitutional
14	rights. (Dkt. No. 48 at 3.) To prove conspiracy, a plaintiff must allege facts showing an
15	agreement or meeting of the minds, with each participant sharing the common objective of the
16	conspiracy. See United Steelworkers of Am. v. Phelps Dodge Corp., 865 F.2d 1539, 1540-41
17	(9th Cir. 1989).
18	The Court finds that the facts offered by Plaintiff are sufficient to plausibly allege that
19	Caster was part of a conspiracy to violate Plaintiff's constitutional rights. The facts offered by
20	Plaintiff in support of his argument concerning Caster are that (1) Plaintiff observed Officers
21	Compston and Young speaking with Caster while Caster was in a segregated side holding cell,
22	during which time they looked directly at Plaintiff and gestured toward him, indicating that they
23	were speaking about Plaintiff; and (2) following this discussion, Caster was moved out of the
24	

1	side holding cell and into a unit with Plaintiff in a manner inconsistent with the jail's booking
2	policy, and then Caster proceeded to assault Plaintiff. (Dkt. No. 48 at 1-3.)
3	These facts, accepted as true, are sufficient to plausibly allege state actor liability for
4	Caster under § 1983. It is plausible that correctional officers would use an inmate to harm
5	another inmate, and that an inmate would join in a correctional officer's plan. Plaintiff's
6	allegations that Officers Compston and Young spoke with Caster while gesturing towards
7	Plaintiff, combined with the timing of the assault, provide enough circumstantial evidence to
8	support Plaintiff's claim at the pleadings stage.
9	Conclusion
10	The Court declines to adopt the Report and Recommendation. (Dkt. No. 40.) This issue
11	is returned to Judge Tsuchida with instructions to order service of the summons and complaint
12	on John Caster.
13	
14	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel and to Judge Tsuchida.
15	
16	Dated this 2nd day of June, 2016.
17	
18	The Allo
19	Marsha J. Pechman
20	United States District Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	