

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SUPERCELL OY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 ROTHSCHILD DIGITAL MEDIA  
14 INNOVATIONS, LLC,

15 Defendant.

CASE NO. C15-1119JLR

ORDER

16 On July 28, 2016, the court entered an order staying this matter pending *inter*  
17 *partes* review (“IPR”) then pending before the United States Patent and Trademark  
18 Office’s Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”). (7/28/16 Order (Dkt. # 37) at 13.)  
19 The court also ordered the parties to file intermittent joint status reports and to alert the  
20 court when the IPR proceedings terminated. (*Id.*) On November 30, 2017, the court  
21 entered an order explaining that the stay will remain in effect until the court orders  
22 otherwise, and ordering Defendant Rothschild Digital Media Innovations, LLC

1 (“RDMI”) to submit a status report every ninety (90) days and to inform the court of any  
2 significant case development within five (5) days of that event’s occurrence. (11/30/17  
3 Order (Dkt. # 48) at 1-2.) RDMI filed a status report with the court on February 28,  
4 2018. (See Status Report (Dkt. # 49).) In the status report, RDMI explained that it had  
5 appealed the IPR proceedings to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal  
6 Circuit and that, on December 13, 2018, the Federal Circuit affirmed the PTAB’s  
7 decision. (*Id.* at 1-2; *see also* Fed. Cir. Judgment (Dkt. # 49-1).)

8 In light of the Federal Circuit’s judgment, the court ORDERS the parties to file  
9 proposals, either jointly or separately, within seven (7) days of the date this order  
10 recommending how the court should proceed with this matter.

11 Dated this 8th day of March, 2018.

12  
13 

14 JAMES L. ROBART  
15 United States District Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22