

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

YESENIA PACHECO, *et al.*,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. C15-1175RSL  
  
ORDER REGARDING  
DEFENDANT’S MOTION IN  
LIMINE

This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant’s Motion in Limine” regarding the damages phase. Dkt. # 149. Defendant seeks to exclude evidence regarding (a) lost wages or expenses that would ordinarily be incurred as the result of having and raising a child and (b) S.L.P.’s lost future wages or general damages. Having reviewed the submissions of the parties,<sup>1</sup> the Court finds as follows:

Under Washington law, Ms. Pacheco and Mr. Lemus may “recover those expenses in excess of the cost of the birth and rearing of [a normal child].” *Harbeson v. Parke-Davis, Inc.*, 98 Wn.2d 460, 477 (1983). Both parties are therefore correct: plaintiffs may not recover for lost wages/expenses that would ordinarily be incurred as the result of the birth of a child, but they may recover for the loss of income associated with taking S.L.P. to medical appointments and therapy sessions.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court has considered plaintiffs’ untimely response and defendant’s reply (which was authorized by the Court’s case management order, Dkt. # 135 at 1).

1 With regards to S.L.P.'s claim, Plaintiffs agree that she is entitled to recover only  
2 extraordinary expenses for medical care and special training. *Harbeson*, 98 Wn.2d at 482.  
3  
4

5 Dated this 1st day of June, 2020.

6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 Robert S. Lasnik  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26