

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 YESENIA PACHECO, *et al.*,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Defendant.

Case No. C15-1175RSL

ORDER

13 This matter comes before the Court on “Plaintiffs’ Motion to Deny or Strike
14 Defendant’s Request for a Medical Reversionary Trust.” Dkt. # 174. Defendant has not,
15 however, requested that the Court impose a medical reversionary trust, making plaintiff’s
16 motion premature. In addition, the Court is not inclined to resolve issues related to the
17 appropriateness of various remedial options before hearing testimony and considering the
18 evidence at trial. While the Court undoubtedly has the discretion to impose a medical
19 reversionary trust, whether one would be appropriate in this case will depend on S.L.P.’s
20 circumstances and an evaluation of what is in her best interests. Plaintiff’s motion is
21 therefore DENIED.

22 DATED this 4th day of August, 2020.

23
24 

25 Robert S. Lasnik
26 United States District Judge