

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SCOTT FRANCIS ICEBERG,

CASE NO. C15-1232JLR

11 Plaintiff,

ORDER

12 v.

13 STATE OF WASHINGTON  
14 DSHS/DVR, et al.,

15 Defendants.

16 Before the court are two motions for reconsideration filed by Plaintiff Scott  
17 Francis Iceberg. (1st MFR (Dkt. # 60); 2d MFR (Dkt. # 61).) The motions are  
18 substantively identical. (*Compare* 1st MFR *with* 2d MFR.) Both motions ask the court  
19 to reconsider its January 30, 2017, order granting Defendants' motion to dismiss this  
20 action and denying Mr. Iceberg leave to amend his third amended complaint. (*See* 1st  
21 MFR; 2d MFR; *see also* 1/30/17 Order (Dkt. # 58).) The court has considered the  
22 motions, the relevant portions of the record, and the applicable law.

1 Pursuant to Local Rule LCR 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored,  
2 and the court will ordinarily deny them unless there is a showing of (a) manifest error in  
3 the prior ruling, or (b) facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the  
4 attention of the court earlier, through reasonable diligence. Local Rules W.D. Wash.  
5 LCR 7(h)(1). Mr. Iceberg fails to make either showing with respect to any aspect of the  
6 court's January 30, 2017, order. (*See generally* 1st MFR; 2d MFR; *see also* 1/30/17  
7 Order.) Accordingly, the court DENIES Mr. Iceberg's motions (Dkt. ## 60, 61).

8 Dated this 5th day of April, 2017.

9  
10 

11 JAMES L. ROBART  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22