

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 LEA BLACK,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 PRIDE MOBILITY PRODUCTS  
12 CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant.

C15-2008 TSZ

MINUTE ORDER

13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
14 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:

15 (1) The Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed by Defendant Pride  
16 Mobility Products Corporation (“Pride”), docket no. 79, is RENOTED for February 9,  
17 2018. The Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction filed by Defendant Merits  
18 Health Products Co., Ltd. (“Merits Taiwan”), docket no. 82, and the Motion to Dismiss  
for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction filed by Defendant Merits Health Products, Inc.  
19 (“Merits USA”), docket no. 109, are also RENOTED for February 9, 2018. Oral  
20 argument on all three motions (docket nos. 79, 82, and 109) is SET for February 15,  
21 2018, at 9:00 a.m.

22 (2) Plaintiff’s Motion to Take the Deposition of Merits Health Products Co.,  
23 Ltd., or Declarant Kuo-Chang Lee, docket no. 102, is GRANTED. The Court is satisfied  
that Plaintiff has put forward “some evidence” tending to establish that personal  
jurisdiction might exist over Merits Taiwan. *See Lufthansa Technik AG v. Astronics*  
*Advanced Elec. Sys. Corp.*, No. C14-1821RSM, 2016 WL 7899254, at \*2 (W.D. Wash.  
Apr. 26, 2016). Merits Taiwan is DIRECTED to produce Kuo-Chang Lee or a designee  
under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) for a deposition to be completed no later than January 19,  
2018. The deposition shall be limited to: (i) the topics contained in the Declaration of

1 Kuo-Chang Lee, docket no. 85, and the Supplemental Declaration of Kuo-Chang Lee,  
2 docket no. 128; and (ii) Pride's role, if any, in the design of the drive assembly at issue.  
3 The deposition may be conducted by video conference or similar technology or in person  
4 and may be video recorded.

5 (3) Pride's Motion for Leave and to Compel Jurisdictional Discovery from  
6 Merits Taiwan, docket no. 97, is DENIED. The Court finds that Pride has failed to  
7 establish a "colorable basis" for the broad jurisdictional discovery it requests. Beyond  
8 the jurisdictional discovery outlined in Paragraph 2 above, the Court is satisfied that any  
9 additional discovery would not demonstrate facts sufficient to constitute a basis for  
10 specific jurisdiction over Merits Taiwan. *See Laub v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior*, 342 F.3d  
11 1080, 1093 (9th Cir. 2003).

12 (4) Plaintiff is DIRECTED to file one supplemental response, if any, to the  
13 pending Motions to Dismiss, docket nos. 82 and 109, not to exceed twenty (20) pages, on  
14 or before February 2, 2018. Merits Taiwan and Merits USA may each file one  
15 supplemental reply, not to exceed ten (10) pages, on or before February 7, 2018.

16 (5) Plaintiff's request for extension under Rule 56(d) in responding to Pride's  
17 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (docket no. 79), *see* docket no. 86, is GRANTED.  
18 Plaintiff is DIRECTED to file any supplemental response to the pending Motion for  
19 Partial Summary Judgment, docket no. 79, not to exceed twenty (20) pages, on or before  
20 February 2, 2018. Pride may file one supplemental reply, not to exceed ten (10) pages,  
21 on or before February 7, 2018.

22 (6) Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement the Record Regarding Plaintiff's Fed. R.  
23 Civ. P. 56(d) Request, docket no. 141, is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part as  
follows. The materials Plaintiff has submitted in support of her Rule 56(d) request are  
ACCEPTED; however, the Court has considered such evidence only to the extent it is  
admissible.

(7) Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery, docket no. 106, is GRANTED.  
Pride is DIRECTED to produce full and complete responses to discovery, no later than  
January 12, 2018, relating to (i) the design of the drive assemblies; (ii) Pride's  
agreements with the purported manufacturer of those drive assemblies; and (iii) other  
incidents known to Pride alleging "freewheel" condition or brake failure. Plaintiff shall  
have the right to reopen the Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) deposition of Pride's designee  
Michael Zablocky on the subject of the Michael Ashton incident. Mr. Zablocky may  
testify by video to minimize and accommodate any inconvenience.

(8) The parties are DIRECTED to confer and file a joint supplemental status  
report on or before January 3, 2018, proposing a trial date and pretrial schedule and  
outlining any other outstanding discovery issues. An in-court scheduling and status  
conference is SET for January 5, 2018, at 10:30 a.m.

(9) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of record.

Dated this 7th day of December, 2017.

William M. McCool  
Clerk

s/Karen Dews  
Deputy Clerk