

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

8 ANNE BLOCK, an individual,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 WASHINGTON STATE BAR  
12 ASSOCIATION, et al.,

13 Defendants.

CASE NO. C15-2018RSM

MINUTE ORDER GRANTING  
EXTENSION OF TIME

14 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
15 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

16 The Court has ordered Plaintiff to show cause “why the Court should not reimpose the  
17 vexatious litigant pre-filing order.” Dkt. #219. At Plaintiff’s request, the Court granted an  
18 extension of the time to respond and ordered that Plaintiff respond by August 31, 2019. Dkt.  
19 #221. On August 31, 2019, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a two-week extension due to  
20 “serious medical problems,” but did not note the motion for the Court’s consideration or provide  
21 a proposed order as required by the Court’s Local Rules. Dkt. #225. On September 1, 2019,  
22 Plaintiff filed an amended request that differed only in that it noted the motion for consideration  
23 on September 20, 2019. Dkt. #226.

The Court notes various deficiencies in Plaintiff’s filings. Plaintiff did not provide proposed orders, as required by the Court’s Local Civil Rules. LCR 7(b)(1). Plaintiff did not provide any proof supporting her vague factual assertions other than declaring that her motion was made under penalty of perjury. *Id.* Plaintiff’s motion seeking relief from a deadline was not “filed sufficiently in advance of the deadline to allow the court to rule on the motion prior to the deadline.” LCR 7(j). Plaintiff did not note her motion for consideration on the earliest possible date—perhaps in an attempt to receive a de facto extension. LCR 7(d)(2) (providing that “motions for relief from a deadline” are to be noted “no earlier than the second Friday after filing”). Nevertheless, due to the importance of the matter and Plaintiff’s representation of “serious medical problems,” the Court will grant Plaintiff relief despite the identified deficiencies.

Accordingly, the Court finds good cause for an extension and GRANTS Plaintiff's request for an extension (Dkt. #226). Plaintiff shall respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #219) no later than September 17, 2019. No additional extensions will be granted.

Dated this 3 day of September, 2019.

WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk

By: /s/ Paula McNabb  
Deputy Clerk