1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8	AI SEA	
9	NICHOLAS SANTORSOLA,	
10 11	Plaintiff, v.	No. C16-29RSL
12	MICHAEL MANUSOS,	ORDER DENYING
13	Defendant.	MOTIONS TO DISO COUNSEL AND TO NOTICE OF APPEA
14	This matter comes before the Court on pla	intiff's "Motion to Disqualit

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion to Disqualify Counsel," Dkt. 15 # 33, and "Motion to Strike Notice of Appearance," Dkt. # 35. In both motions, plaintiff asks 16 the Court to disqualify defendant's counsel, Thomas George Crowell, on the grounds that 17 Mr. Crowell is employed by State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company ("State Farm") 18 and both plaintiff and defendant are insured by State Farm. (State Farm engaged Mr. Crowell to 19 represent defendant in this action pursuant to defendant's insurance policy. Dkt. # 38, ¶ 1.) 20 Plaintiff claims that this constitutes a "concurrent conflict of interest" in violation of the 21 American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct and Washington Rule of 22 Professional Conduct 1.7. 23

Those ethics rules do not apply to these circumstances. Neither Mr. Crowell nor any other member of State Farm's legal office represents or has ever represented plaintiff. Dkt. # 38, ¶ 2. Plaintiff cites no legal authority for the proposition that his insurer may not provide a legal

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO DISQUALIFY AND TO STRIKE - 1

24

25

26

27

PLAINTIFF'S

UALIFY STRIKE

ARANCE

defense to another insured pursuant to the terms of that insured's policy, and the Court is aware
of none. Though plaintiff highlights defendant's informed consent pursuant to RPC 1.8(f)(1),
that ethics rule applies where a third party – such as an insurance company – pays for a party's
legal expenses. The rule does not establish a concurrent conflict of interest involving the other
party to the lawsuit.

For all the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motions to disqualify and to strike (Dkt. ## 33, 35) are DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 8th day of June, 2017.

MAS Casnik

Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO DISQUALIFY AND TO STRIKE - 2