

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

JOSE CARLOS GUARDADO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CASCADIAN BUILDING  
MAINTENANCE, LTD.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C16-0303JLR


ORDER

On June 1, 2016, the court dismissed Plaintiffs Jose Carlos Guardado and Sixto Alfredo Piccinoni’s (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) amended complaint. (6/1/16 Order (Dkt. # 26) at 2, 16.) In that order, the court determined, contrary to Plaintiffs’ understanding, that Section 301 of the Labor-Management Relations Act (“LMRA”), 29 U.S.C. § 141 *et seq.*, completely preempts Plaintiffs’ claims. (*Id.* at 12-15.) In light of this determination, Plaintiffs had failed to plead sufficient facts to support their claim, but the

1 court granted Plaintiffs leave to amend within 30 days to remedy those pleading  
2 deficiencies. (*Id.* at 15-16.)

3 On June 23, 2016, Plaintiffs filed a notice indicating their intent not to file an  
4 amended complaint. (Notice (Dkt. # 29).) Accordingly, the court DISMISSES Plaintiffs'  
5 amended complaint WITHOUT PREJUDICE and without leave to amend.

6 Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2016.

7  
8   
9 JAMES L. ROBART  
United States District Judge