

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 NATIONAL PRODUCTS, INC.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DOES 1-4,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C16-0702JLR

ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE
MOTION FOR EXPEDITED
DISCOVERY

15 **I. INTRODUCTION**

16 Before the court is Plaintiff National Products, Inc.'s ("NPI") ex parte motion for
17 expedited discovery. (Mot. (Dkt. # 2).) The court has reviewed the motion, NPI's
18 submissions in support of its motion, the relevant portions of the record, and the
19 applicable law. Being fully advised, the court GRANTS NPI's motion.

20 **II. BACKGROUND**

21 NPI is a Washington corporation based in Seattle that manufactures and sells
22 mounting systems and docking systems for cameras, tablet computers, mobile phones,

1 and other mobile devices. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1) ¶¶ 2, 10.) One of NPI’s popular products is
2 a mobile phone docking system that mounts a mobile phone to the dashboard of an
3 automobile. (*Id.* ¶ 10.) NPI sells its mounting systems and docking systems under the
4 federally registered trademark Ram Mounts®. (Goggin Decl. (Dkt. # 3) ¶ 2, Ex. 1.) The
5 U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (“USPTO”) registered the Ram Mounts® trademark on
6 November 7, 2006, listing NPI as the owner. (*Id.*)

7 NPI alleges that Defendants John Does 1-4 are Amazon sellers that have sold Ram
8 Mounts® products on Amazon.com. (Compl. ¶¶ 22-31; *see also* Goggin Decl. ¶¶ 5-8,
9 Exs. 4-7.) NPI further alleges that Defendants have each made false statements on
10 Amazon.com in association with their sales of these products, including: (a) falsely
11 claiming that Defendants are authorized resellers of Ram Mounts® products when they
12 have no relationship with NPI, and (b) falsely claiming that Ram Mounts® products are
13 imported from China when they are made in the United States. (Compl. ¶¶ 22-31.) NPI
14 also alleges that Defendants have removed the serial numbers from the Ram Mounts®
15 products they sell to thwart efforts to identify the products. (*See* Goggin Decl. ¶¶ 9, 17,
16 Exs. 8, 14.)

17 NPI alleges that John Does 1-4 have adopted fictitious names for their Amazon
18 Seller accounts that disguise their true identities. (Compl. ¶¶ 3-6.) John Doe 1 goes by
19 the name “Decan Tech.” (Goggin Ex. 4.) John Doe 2 sells on Amazon as “Mounts
20 Unlimited.” (Goggin Ex. 5.) John Doe 3 uses the name “Shoppers Haven.” (Goggin Ex.
21 6.) And John Doe 4 identifies itself on Amazon as “Daily Affordable.” (Goggin Ex. 7.)
22

1 NPI alleges that John Does 1-4 are not authorized resellers or authorized
2 distributors of Ram Mounts® products. (*See* Compl. ¶ 28.) On February 9, 2016, in an
3 effort to stop the infringing sales of John Does 1-4 and learn their true identities, NPI
4 filed an infringement complaint on Amazon.com. (Goggin Decl. ¶ 10, Ex. 9.) NPI
5 asked that Amazon.com delist the Ram Mounts® product associated with John Does 1-4
6 and provide NPI with the true identities of John Does 1-4. (*Id.*) On February 10, 2016,
7 Amazon.com replied by email that it would not take any action against John Does 1-4.
8 (Goggin Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 10.)

9 In addition to filing the complaint on Amazon.com's website, on February 9,
10 2016, NPI's counsel sent a letter to Amazon.com's in-house counsel, Dana Brown
11 Northcott, explaining the factual and legal basis of NPI's trademark infringement
12 complaints against John Does 1-4, and again asking that Amazon.com remove the
13 infringing listings and provide the true identities of John Does 1-4. (Goggin Decl. ¶ 12,
14 Ex. 11.) In the weeks and months that followed these initial complaints, NPI's counsel
15 attempted without success, through phone calls and emails, to obtain the true identities of
16 John Does 1-4 from Amazon.com's counsel. (*Id.* ¶¶ 13, 16 -17, 19; Exs. 12-21.) NPI has
17 still not obtained the information and Amazon.com's counsel has not responded to NPI's
18 most recent communications. (*See id.* ¶ 19, Exs. 18-21.)

19 III. ANALYSIS

20 A. Legal Standard

21 The court may authorize early discovery before the Federal Rule of Civil
22 Procedure 26(f) conference for the parties' and witnesses' convenience and in the

1 interests of justice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d). Courts within the Ninth Circuit generally
2 consider whether a plaintiff has shown “good cause” for such early discovery. *See, e.g.,*
3 *Yokohama Tire Corp. v. Dealers Tire Supply, Inc.*, 202 F.R.D. 612, 613-14 (D. Ariz.
4 2001) (collecting cases and standards). When the identities of defendants are not known
5 before a complaint is filed, a plaintiff “should be given an opportunity through discovery
6 to identify the unknown defendants, unless it is clear that discovery would not uncover
7 the identities, or that the complaint would be dismissed on other grounds.” *Gillespie v.*
8 *Civiletti*, 629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir. 1980). In evaluating whether a plaintiff establishes
9 good cause to learn the identity of John Doe defendants through early discovery, courts
10 examine whether the plaintiff (1) identifies the John Doe defendant with sufficient
11 specificity that the Court can determine that the defendant is a real person who can be
12 sued in federal court, (2) recounts the steps taken to locate and identify the defendant, (3)
13 demonstrates that the action can withstand a motion to dismiss, and (4) proves that the
14 discovery is likely to lead to identifying information that will permit service of process.
15 *Columbia Ins. Co. v. Seescandy.com*, 185 F.R.D. 573, 578-80 (N.D. Cal. 1999).

16 **B. NPI Has Shown Good Cause to Engage in Early Discovery**

17 Here, NPI established good cause to engage in early discovery to identify John
18 Does 1-4. First, NPI has identified John Does 1-4 with sufficient specificity to determine
19 that these defendants are real persons who can be sued in federal court. Specifically, NPI
20 has provided evidence to support its claims that John Does 1-4 are sellers on
21 Amazon.com using fictitious names to sell products that infringe upon NPI’s trademark.
22 (Goggin Decl. ¶¶ 5-10, 26, Exs. 4-9, 22.) Second, NPI has adequately described the steps


1 Amazon.com for the purpose of learning the true identities and locations of John Does

2 1-4 so that NPI may accomplish service of process upon these defendants.

3 Dated this 23rd day of May, 2016.

4

5



6

JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22