

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JERARDO RODRIGUEZ,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. C16-778-RAJ
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
SOHI HEMIT, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration. Dkt. # 61. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will be granted only upon a “showing of manifest error in the prior ruling” or “new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the court’s] attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” Local R. W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(1).

In his motion, Plaintiff reiterates the arguments and concerns he expressed in his motion to compel. Dkt. # 46. He does not raise new arguments offer new evidence. Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion for reconsideration. Dkt. # 61.

Dated this 6th day of April, 2018.



The Honorable Richard A. Jones
United States District Judge