

Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

MARK HOFFMAN, on his own behalf and  
on behalf of other similarly situated persons,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ONE TECHNOLOGIES, LP,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01006-RSL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING  
CASE SCHEDULE (FIRST REQUEST)**

NOTE FOR CONSIDERATION ON  
AUGUST 25, 2017

By and through their counsel of record, the parties seek the Court’s approval of the stipulation amending the case schedule as submitted below.

**STIPULATION**

**A. Procedural Background**

Defendant removed Plaintiff’s putative class action complaint to this Court on June 28, 2016.<sup>1</sup> *See* Dkt. #1. On July 25, 2016, Defendant moved to dismiss for failure to state a claim and for other relief. *See* Dkt. #13. On July 28, 2016, Plaintiff moved to remand. *See* Dkt. #15. The Court issued its first and current scheduling order on August 29, 2016. *See* Dkt. #20.

<sup>1</sup> Because removal occurred before Plaintiff filed the new, amended complaint in state court, the parties subsequently stipulated and the Court thereby ordered that it would be retroactively deemed filed in state court prior to removal. *See* Dkt. #8.

1 On October 26, 2016, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion to remand. *See* Dkt. #24. The  
2 Court denied Defendant's motion to dismiss on January 17, 2017. *See* Dkt. #24. Defendant  
3 subsequently filed its answer to Plaintiff's amended complaint on February 26, 2017. *See* Dkt.  
4 #26. Thus, the claims and defenses genuinely in dispute in this case were identified and put at  
5 issue only six months ago.

6 **B. The Current Case Schedule**

7 The Court issued an Order Setting Trial and Related Dates (Dkt. #20) which set certain  
8 dates and deadlines for this case as follows:

9 Motion for class certification due and noted on the Court's 10 calendar for the fifth Friday thereafter	September 07, 2017
11 Deadline for amending pleadings	October 7, 2017
12 Reports from expert witnesses under FRCP 26(a)(2) due	December 6, 2017
13 All motions related to discovery must be noted on the motion 14 calendar no later than the Friday before discovery closes pursuant to 15 LCR 7(d) or LCR 37(a)(2)	
16 Settlement conference held no later than	January 19, 2018
17 Discovery completed by	February 4, 2018
18 All dispositive motions must be filed by and noted on the motion 19 calendar no later than the fourth Friday thereafter 20 (see LCR 7(d)(3))	March 6, 2018
21 All motions in limine must be filed by and noted on the motion 22 calendar no earlier than the second Friday thereafter. 23 Replies will be accepted.	April 15, 2018
24 Agreed pretrial order due	May 3, 2018
25 Pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court	
26 Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed jury 27 instructions, and trial exhibits due	May 30, 2018
Trial:	June 4, 2018.

28 **C. Background on Discovery**

29 The claims and defenses genuinely in dispute in this case for discovery purposes were  
30 identified and put at issue when Defendant filed its answer on February 26, 2017. In that context,

1 Plaintiff subsequently served sets of production requests and interrogatories on March 14, 2017.  
2 For good causes, including but not limited to Defendant and its counsel needing additional time  
3 to obtain, evaluate, and prepare potentially responsive information and documents, Defendant  
4 asked for multiple extensions of time to respond. Because of the good causes articulated by  
5 Defendant, and to avoid avoidable potentially protracted motion practice, Plaintiff agreed to the  
6 extensions. Defendant served its discovery responses on June 28, 2017. Defendant also served  
7 documents in response to the production requests in a series of productions, the last of which was  
8 on August 18, 2017.

9 On May 2, 2017, Defendant served Plaintiff with sets of requests for admissions,  
10 interrogatories, and production requests. For good causes, including but not limited to Plaintiff  
11 and its counsel needing additional time to obtain, evaluate, and prepare potentially responsive  
12 information and documents, Plaintiff asked for multiple extensions of time to respond. Because  
13 of the good causes articulated by Plaintiff, and to avoid avoidable potentially protracted motion  
14 practice, Defendant agreed to the extensions. Plaintiff served its responses to the requests for  
15 admissions on August 18, 2017. Plaintiff will be serving responses to Defendant's  
16 interrogatories and production requests on August 25, 2017 together with production of  
17 documents in response.

18 In an effort to resolve potential discovery disputes identified by both parties, the parties  
19 are preparing to meet and confer soon in a meaningful manner. Plaintiff's recent responses to  
20 requests for admission have also identified further discovery that Defendant needs to obtain, and  
21 Defendant will be serving an additional set of written discovery on Plaintiff in the coming days.

22 Meanwhile, the parties have begun to schedule depositions, the first of which is expected  
23 to occur in September. At this time, Plaintiff anticipates taking at least two or three depositions  
24 of Defendant and its personnel. Additionally, based upon disclosures by Defendant, Plaintiff will  
25 be serving deposition subpoenas on at least seven third-parties who have been identified as  
26 possibly having some involvement in or knowledge of the transmission of emails that are a  
27 material subject matter of Plaintiff's complaint. Defendant likewise plans to take a deposition of

1 Plaintiff and likely third parties. However, the extent of Defendant's additional discovery is  
2 contingent on its evaluation of Plaintiff's discovery responses, which it has not had adequate  
3 opportunity to do.

4 **D. Stipulated Requests**

5 The local civil rules state, "The judges of this district are committed to assisting the bar  
6 and litigants to reduce costs in civil cases. It is the obligation of all counsel, as officers of the  
7 court, to work toward the prompt completion of each case and to minimize the costs of  
8 discovery." See Local Rules W.D. Wash LCR, Introduction. At all phases of this case, the parties  
9 have worked together in the spirit of this rule to balance the interest of a prompt resolution of the  
10 case together with the need to minimize the costs of discovery. Likewise under the aegis of this  
11 rule, the parties now stipulate to and request amendments to the current case schedule as follows:

12 Motion for class certification due and noted on the Court's  
13 calendar for the fifth Friday thereafter March 8, 2018

14 Deadline for amending pleadings April 6, 2018

15 Reports from expert witnesses under FRCP 26(a)(2) due June 6, 2018

16 All motions related to discovery must be noted on the motion calendar no later than  
17 the Friday before discovery closes pursuant to LCR 7(d) or LCR 37(a)(2)

18 Settlement conference held no later than July 25, 2018

19 Discovery completed by August 3, 2018

20 All dispositive motions must be filed by and noted on the motion  
21 calendar no later than the fourth Friday thereafter  
(see LCR 7(d)(3)) September 6, 2018

22 All motions in limine must be filed by and noted on the motion  
23 calendar no earlier than the second Friday thereafter. Replies  
will be accepted. October 15, 2018

24 Agreed pretrial order due November 2, 2018

25 Pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court

26 Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed jury  
instructions, and trial exhibits due November 30, 2018

27 Trial: December 3, 2018.

1 The parties also stipulate and request that Plaintiff's pending Motion for Relief from  
2 Deadlines and New Case Schedule (Dkt. #31) should be withdrawn and stricken as being now  
3 moot.

4 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: August 25, 2017

5 /s/ Albert H. Kirby

6 Albert H. Kirby, WSBA #40187  
7 **SOUND JUSTICE LAW GROUP PLLC**  
8 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
9 Seattle, Washington 98103  
10 Tel: (206) 489-3210  
11 Fax: (866) 845-6302  
12 Email: [ahkirby@soundjustice.com](mailto:ahkirby@soundjustice.com)

13 Kim Williams, WSBA #9077  
14 Rob Williamson, WSBA #26759  
15 **WILLIAMSON & WILLIAMS**  
16 2239 West Viewmont Way West  
17 Seattle, Washington 98199  
18 Tel: (206) 466-2685  
19 Fax: (206) 535-7899  
20 Email: [kim@williamslaw.com](mailto:kim@williamslaw.com)  
21 [rob@williamslaw.com](mailto:rob@williamslaw.com)

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff

23 /s/ Ari N. Rothman

24 Ari N. Rothman, Pro Hac Vice  
25 Joeseph L. Robbins, Pro Hac Vice  
26 **VENABLE, LLP**  
27 575 7<sup>th</sup> Street N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
Tel: (202) 344-4220  
Email: [anrothman@venable.com](mailto:anrothman@venable.com)  
[jrobbins@venable.com](mailto:jrobbins@venable.com)

28 Craig S. Sternberg, WSBA #521  
29 **STERNBERG THOMSON OKRENT**  
30 **& SCHER PPLC**  
31 520 Pike Street, Ste. 2250  
32 Seattle, WA 98101  
33 Tel: (206) 386-5438  
34 Fax: (206) 374-2868  
35 Email: [craig@stoslaw.com](mailto:craig@stoslaw.com)

36 Attorneys for Defendant

**ORDER**

IT SO ORDERED. The Court adopts the parties' stipulation.

1. The case schedule is amended as follows:

Motion for class certification due and noted on the Court's calendar for the fifth Friday thereafter March 8, 2018

Deadline for amending pleadings April 6, 2018

Reports from expert witnesses under FRCP 26(a)(2) due June 6, 2018

All motions related to discovery must be noted on the motion calendar no later than the Friday before discovery closes pursuant to LCR 7(d) or LCR 37(a)(2)

Settlement conference held no later than July 25, 2018

Discovery completed by August 3, 2018

All dispositive motions must be filed by and noted on the motion calendar no later than the fourth Friday thereafter (see LCR 7(d)(3)) September 6, 2018

All motions in limine must be filed by and noted on the motion calendar no earlier than the second Friday thereafter. Replies will be accepted. October 15, 2018

Agreed pretrial order due November 2, 2018

Pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court

Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed jury instructions, and trial exhibits due November 30, 2018

Trial: December 3, 2018.

2. Plaintiff's pending Motion for Relief from Deadlines and New Case Schedule (Dkt. #31) is stricken as being now moot.

Dated this 29th day of August, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge