

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 MASOUD KHAZALI,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 KING COUNTY COURT OF
14 WASHINGTON STATE,

15 Defendant.

Case No. C16-1021JLR

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FILED ON JULY 13, 2017

16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Masoud Khazali's "Motion, Time and
17 Space." Dkt. #15. This Motion was filed on July 13, 2017, nearly a year since the previous
18 filings, the Order and Judgment dismissing this case with prejudice. *See* Docket. This instant
19 Motion was referred to the undersigned judge via Order dated July 27, 2017. Dkt. #17.
20

21 On its face, this Motion does not cite to any rule or law, nor does it clearly state what
22 relief it requests from the Court. *See* Dkt. #15. Mr. Khazali begins by stating, as if by
23 epigraph, "Not allowed into Your Space... To appeal the case..." *Id.* at 1. In the body of his
24 Motion, Mr. Khazali says that he "was not allowed into Your Space to file the motion on time,"
25 but does not identify the motion to which he refers. *Id.* at 1. Mr. Khazali states that he was in a
26 coma, "was made not to hold an ID," and apparently could not enter the courthouse at some
27
28

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FILED ON JULY 13, 2017- 1

1 time because he lacked identification. *Id.* Mr. Khazali, speaking to the Court, states “[y]ou
2 made me be lost in Time.” *Id.*

3 Plaintiff includes the following attachments to his Motion: “The Kidnap Loving State,”
4 which provides a sort of history of slavery in the United States; “The State of the Claim,”
5 which provides some procedural background, “Life: the Live Connection through Space,”
6 which engages in a metaphysical discussion of “invisible energy” and “hidden life in light;”
7 “The Immune Executioner,” which discusses injury to the Plaintiff, including Plaintiff “los[ing]
8 his mind,” caused by the separation of Plaintiff from his child; “Prevention of Abuse,” broadly
9 discussing his case; “Valuing Property over Humanity,” which accuses the Court of continuing
10 historic practices of slavery; and “The Masters’ Court,” which accuses the Honorable James L.
11 Robart, United States District Judge, of supporting terrorism, child abuse, slavery, and gender
12 and racial inequality because of Judge Robart’s vague associations with the nation’s first
13 president George Washington. *See id.* at 2-14.

14
15
16 The Court is not required to guess, without any reasonable basis, what relief a plaintiff
17 is requesting in a Motion such as this. If Mr. Khazali is requesting relief from some deadline
18 by stating reasons why he was unable to file a document at an earlier time, the Court finds that
19 he has failed to present sufficient evidence of good cause for granting relief as to *any* prior
20 filing. Pursuant to this Court’s Local Rules, “[a] motion for relief from a deadline should,
21 whenever possible, be filed sufficiently in advance of the deadline to allow the court to rule on
22 the motion prior to the deadline.” LCR 7(j). “Parties should not assume that the motion will be
23 granted and must comply with the existing deadline unless the court orders otherwise.” *Id.* Mr.
24 Khazali has presented no reasonable basis for interpreting this Motion as requesting any other
25 relief, or under any other rule or law.
26
27
28

