| 1 | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 6 | TAMARA LOHR and RAVIKIRAN SINDOGI, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, | NO. C16-1023 RSM | |
| 7 8 | Plaintiffs, | STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES | |
| 9 | V. | | |
| 10 | NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., and NISSAN MOTOR CO., LTD., | | |
| 11 | Defendants. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | The parties respectfully request a six-mon | th extension of the deadlines in this case. The | |
| 14 | parties have worked diligently and amicably to resolve numerous issues regarding the scope of | | |
| 15 | discovery, production of electronically stored information, and the terms of the Stipulated | | |
| 16 | Protective Order. Having resolved these disputes, the parties agree that a six-month extension of | | |
| 17 | the deadlines in this matter is needed to complete | class discovery, prepare for expert disclosure | |
| 18 | and class certification briefing, and coordinate discovery with related litigation pending in the | | |
| 19 | Northern District of California. | | |
| 20 | I. RELEVAN | Г BACKGROUND | |
| 21 | On July 16, 2017, the Court adopted the ca | ase schedule the parties proposed in their Joint | |
| 22 23 | Status Report. Dkt. No. 47. The Court's Scheduling Order set deadlines for class certification | | |
| 24 | STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES - 1 CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05201 RBL 1031664 | TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com | |

briefing and expert disclosure and depositions, beginning with a May 25, 2018, deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification and serve expert disclosures and reports. *Id.*

2

1

This proposed class action involves allegations that panoramic sunroofs installed in seven 3 models of Nissan vehicles suffer from a uniform defect. The parties sought to streamline and 4 reduce the cost of discovery and engaged in extensive negotiations concerning the scope of 5 discovery, search terms and search-term proximity for production of electronically stored 6 information ("ESI"), and the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order and Order Regarding 7 Discovery of ESI. The parties met and conferred on numerous occasions over the course of 8 several months and were able to narrow their disputes to a single issue: whether Defendant Nissan 9 North America, Inc. ("NNA") could redact certain unprivileged information prior to production. 10

On January 19, 2018, the parties filed their Proposed Stipulated Protective Order, Dkt. No. 52, and Stipulation and Proposed Order regarding the Discovery of ESI, Dkt. No. 53, carving out the issue of redaction for court resolution. On January 23, 2018, the parties jointly requested an expedited resolution of the remaining dispute concerning pre-production redaction. The Court ruled on the issue during a telephonic hearing conducted on February 1, 2018. Dkt No. 59.

With the deadlines for class certification quickly approaching, significant discovery 16 17 remains to be completed despite the parties' cooperation and diligence. To date, the parties have exchanged and responded to written discovery, Plaintiffs have produced documents, and NNA is 18 positioned to begin a rolling production of its documents based on the agreed-upon search terms 19 for electronically-stored information. Third-party discovery also is underway. In addition, the 20 parties are also coordinating discovery in this action with a companion case filed in the Northern 21 District of California, Sherida Johnson et. al. v. Nissan N. Am., Inc., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-22 00517 (N.D. Cal. Filed Feb. 1, 2017). The parties have agreed that Nissan's foreign parent, 23

24

Nissan Motor Co., Ltd. (which is not served in the underlying case) will produce its documents 1 once in the Johnson case and then those documents - subject to appropriate protection, including 2 compliance with the terms of the Protective Order in the Johnson case - may be used in this case 3 (despite the non-service of NML in this case). Of note, the Johnson case recently was impacted 4 by the transfer of a related case from the Eastern District of California (Horne). The Johnson-5 Horne plaintiffs will file their consolidated third-amended complaint on March 16, 2018, to 6 which NNA will respond on April 6, 2018. These events in Johnson have delayed the start of 7 discovery, which in turn impacts the sharing of documents from that case in this case. 8

9 The parties will continue to work diligently and cooperatively to complete document 10 discovery, schedule depositions, and resolve any disputes that may arise promptly and without 11 court intervention. A six-month extension of the deadlines in this matter would facilitate the 12 coordination of discovery by more closely aligning the case schedule with *Johnson*. For these 13 reasons, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows.

14

II. STIPULATION

Whereas, significant discovery is still required for the parties to address class certification, and given the parties' desire to coordinate discovery with *Johnson*, the parties respectfully request that the Court extend the deadlines in this matter as set forth below:

| 18 | Event | Current Deadline | Proposed Deadline |
|----|--|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 19 | Deadline to file Motion for Class Certification and serve | May 25, 2018 | December 3, 2018 |
| 20 | Plaintiffs expert disclosures and reports | | |
| 21 | Deadline for Plaintiff to produce experts for | July 6, 2018 | January 11, 2019 |
| 22 | deposition | | |
| | Deadline to file opposition | August 6, 2018 | February 13, 2019 |
| 23 | to Motion for Class | | |
| | Certification and serve | | |
| 24 | | | |

24

| 1 | NNAs expert disclosures and reports | | | |
|-----|--|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| 2 | Deadline for NNA to | Αιισιι | st 27, 2018 | March 6, 2019 |
| 2 | produce experts for | Tugu | , 27, 2010 | 101010,2019 |
| 3 | deposition | | | |
| _ | Deadline to file reply | Septem | ber 10, 2018 | March 18, 2019 |
| 4 | regarding Motion for Class | - | | |
| | Certification | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | STIPULATED TO AND | DATED this | 27th day of Mar | ch, 2018. |
| 7 | TERRELL MARSHALL LAW | GROUP | | Y & BACON L.L.P. efendant Nissan North America, |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | | Inc. | erendant missan mortin America, |
| 0 | Actionicy's for Flammins | | me. | |
| 9 | By: <u>/s/ Beth E. Terrell</u> | | By: <u>/s/ Heather</u> | r A. Hedeen |
| | Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #2675 | | | Hedeen, WSBA #50687 |
| 10 | Amanda M. Steiner, WSBA # | | | RDY & BACON L.L.P. |
| | Benjamin M. Drachler, WSB. | | | venue, Suite 6800 |
| 11 | Terrell Marshall Law Group I 936 North 34 th Street, Ste. 30 | | Seattle, WA | |
| 12 | Seattle, WA 98103-8869 | 0 | Phone: 206- | |
| 12 | Phone: 206-816-6603 | | hhedeen@sł | 10.0011 |
| 13 | Fax: 206-319-5450 | | Amir Nassił | ni, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> |
| 10 | bterrell@terrellmarshall.com | | Andrew L. C | Chang, Pro Hac Vice |
| 14 | asteiner@terrellmarshall.com | | | ARDY & BACON L.L.P. |
| | bdrachler@terrellmarshall.com | m | | omery, Suite 2700 |
| 15 | | T 71 | | co, CA 94104 |
| 16 | Gregory F. Coleman, Pro Hac | | Phone: 415- | |
| 16 | Mark E. Silvey, Pro Hac Vice Lisa A. White, Pro Hac Vice | 2 | anassihi@sh achang@sht | |
| 17 | GREG COLEMAN LAW PC | | achang@sht | 5.0011 |
| 17 | First Tennessee Plaza | | Holly Paulir | ng Smith, Pro Hac Vice |
| 18 | 800 South Gay Street, Suite 1 | 100 | - | Sampson, Pro Hac Vice |
| - | Knoxville, TN, 37929 | | | RDY & BACON L.L.P. |
| 19 | Phone: 865-247-0080 | | 2555 Grand | |
| | Fax: 865-522-0049 | | Kansas City | - |
| 20 | greg@gregcolemanlaw.com | | Phone: 816- | |
| 0.1 | mark@gregcolemanlaw.com | | hpsmith@sh | |
| 21 | adam@gregcolemanlaw.com | | wsampson@ | /5110.00111 |
| 22 | Charles J. Crueger, Pro Hac | Vice | | |
| | Erin Dickinson, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> | | | |
| 23 | CRUEGER DICKINSON LL | | | |
| | 4532 N. Oakland Avenue | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| | STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER | R EXTENDING | r | TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC |
| | DEADLINES - 4 CASE No. 3:17 CV 05201 PPI | | | 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 |
| | CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05201 RBL 1031664 | | | TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com |

| 1 | Whitefish Bay, WI 53211 Phanas 414 210 2868 | |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | ekd@cruegerdickinson.com Edward A. Wallace, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | E 212 246 0022 | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | 3 | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | 2 | |
| 13 | 3 | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | 5 | |
| 16 | 5 | |
| 17 | 7 | |
| 18 | 3 | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | 3 | |
| 24 | | |
| | STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER EXTENDING TERRELL MARSHALL LAV DEADLINES - 5 936 North 34th Street, CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05201 RBL Seattle, Washington 96 1031664 TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX | Suite 300 103-8869 206.319.5450 |

| 1 | III. ORDER |
|----|--|
| 2 | IT IS SO ORDERED this 28 th day of March 2018. |
| 3 | Duti |
| 4 | RICARDO S. MARTINEZ |
| 5 | CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES - 6 CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05201 RBL 1031664 TEL 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com |