

1 THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10  
11 In re JUNO THERAPEUTICS, INC.

12 CASE NO.: C16-1069 RSM  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**STIPULATED MOTION AND  
ORDER PURSUANT TO LCR 7(j)  
AND 10(g) AND FCRP 16(b)(4) FOR  
PARTIAL AMENDMENT OF  
SCHEDULING ORDER  
AND  
FOR BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR  
MOTION FOR CLASS  
CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(j) (for relief from a deadline), Local Civil Rule 10(g) (stipulated motions), and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) (for modification of a scheduling order), Defendants Juno Therapeutics, Inc., Hans Bishop, Dr. Steven Harr, and Dr. Mark J. Gilbert (collectively, “Defendants”), and Lead Plaintiff Gilbert Hoang Nguyen and proposed class representative Susan Tan hereby submit this stipulated motion requesting that the Court partially modify the Rule 16(b) and Rule 23(d)(2) Scheduling Order Regarding Class Certification Motion (Dkt. No. 80; the “Scheduling Order”) to allow for expert discovery in connection with the class certification motion, and to extend the time for filing the response and

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER FOR  
PARTIAL RELIEF FROM DEADLINE & FOR CLASS  
CERT. BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
No. C16-1069 RSM

-1-

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100  
Seattle, WA 98104-7036  
Tel: (206) 883-2500  
Fax: (206) 883-2699

1 reply memoranda. Further, the parties propose that the motion for class certification be filed on  
2 September 15, 2017 (as opposed to October 2, 2017). Filing the motion earlier will help allow  
3 sufficient time for expert depositions and briefing without causing any undue delay in the case  
4 overall. These modifications would not disturb the Court's existing deadlines for the completion  
5 of *fact* discovery relating to class certification (September 1, 2017).

6 **I. Background**

7 On July 21, 2017, the Court issued the Scheduling Order setting two deadlines: a  
8 deadline of September 1, 2017 for completion of discovery on class certification, and a deadline  
9 of October 2, 2017 for Plaintiffs to file their motion for class certification. Dkt. No. 40 at 1. The  
10 Scheduling Order provided that the class certification motion be noted on the fourth Friday after  
11 filing and service, "unless the parties agree to different times for filing the response and reply  
12 memoranda."

13 After the Court issued the Scheduling Order, the parties met and conferred, and Plaintiffs  
14 confirmed that they intend to support their motion for class certification with a report from an  
15 expert witness. Defendants likewise confirmed that, depending on the content of the motion for  
16 class certification and Plaintiffs' expert report, Defendants also intend to file an expert report in  
17 support of their opposition to the motion for class certification.

18 **II. Good Cause Exists To Partially Modify The Scheduling Order To Allow Expert  
19 Depositions After The Class Certification Motion Is Filed**

20 Good cause exists here to partially modify the Scheduling Order to allow the parties to  
21 depose one another's experts after the motion for class certification is filed.

22 In securities class actions such as this, the parties typically rely on expert testimony both  
23 in support of and in opposition to the motion for class certification. *See, e.g., In re Countrywide*  
24 *Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 273 F.R.D. 586, 609 (C.D. Cal. 2009) (noting that expert testimony is  
25 frequently used for class certification motions in securities class actions). Here, the parties have  
26 confirmed through a meet and confer that they intend to support, and oppose, class certification  
27

1 with the assistance of experts. The parties agree that they will submit reports of such experts at  
2 the time of the class certification motion (for plaintiffs) and opposition to class certification (for  
3 defendants). The parties further agree that they should have the opportunity to depose each  
4 other's class certification expert. The parties cannot feasibly exchange expert reports prior to  
5 preparation and filing of their respective briefs in support of and in opposition to the class  
6 certification motion. Likewise, the parties cannot depose one another's expert prior to receiving  
7 the expert's report and the brief in support of which the expert report is offered. Therefore, the  
8 parties respectfully submit that good cause exists to partially modify the Scheduling Order to  
9 include a period for expert depositions after their respective motion papers and accompanying  
10 expert report(s) have been filed. *See Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th  
11 Cir. 1992) (district court may modify the pretrial schedule "if it cannot reasonably be met despite  
12 the diligence of the party seeking the extension.") (internal citation omitted).

13 Further, in light of the need for expert depositions in connection with class certification,  
14 the parties respectfully request that the Court enter a schedule that allows the parties time  
15 following the depositions to file their opposition and reply briefs. The Scheduling Order  
16 contemplates that the parties may agree to deadlines for the opposition and reply briefs that differ  
17 from the default deadlines in the local rules. Dkt. No. 80 at 1. The parties met and conferred  
18 regarding the time frame for the briefing of the motion for class certification and agree that,  
19 given the need for analysis and rebuttal of expert reports and the potential complexity of the  
20 issues involved, the normal briefing schedule set forth in the local rules will not allow adequate  
21 time for the parties to prepare the opposition and reply briefs. In order to allow for prompt  
22 completion of briefing on the class certification motion, however, the parties have agreed to  
23 move the deadlines up such that Plaintiffs will file their motion for class certification on  
24 September 15, 2017 rather than October 2, 2017. The parties respectfully submit that the  
25 proposed briefing and expert deposition schedule is reasonable and, because the motion for class  
26 certification will be filed two weeks earlier, the proposed schedule will not cause undue delay.  
27

1 Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter a modified scheduling  
2 order as follows:

September 15, 2017	Deadline for Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification
October 6, 2017	Deadline for Defendants to depose Plaintiffs' expert regarding expert report submitted in connection with Motion for Class Certification
October 20, 2017	Deadline for Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Class Certification
November 3, 2017	Deadline for Plaintiffs to depose Defendants' expert regarding expert report submitted in connection with Opposition to Motion for Class Certification
November 17, 2017	Deadline for Plaintiffs' Reply in support of Motion for Class Certification

12 Respectfully submitted,

13 Dated: August 14, 2017

s/ Gregory L. Watts

14 Gregory L. Watts, WSBA #43995  
15 **WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI,**  
16 **PC**  
17 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100  
18 Seattle, Washington 98104  
19 Tel: (206) 883-2500  
20 Fax: (206) 883-2699  
21 gwatts@wsgr.com

22 Nina F. Locker, *pro hac vice*  
23 Ignacio E. Salceda, *pro hac vice*  
24 Joni Ostler, *pro hac vice*  
25 650 Page Mill Road  
26 Palo Alto, CA 94304  
27 Tel: (650) 849-3457  
Fax: (650) 493-6811  
nlocker@wsgr.com  
isalceda@wsgr.com  
jostler@wsgr.com

28 Daniel Slifkin  
29 Karin A. DeMasi  
30 Lauren M. Rosenberg  
31 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
32 825 Eighth Avenue  
33 New York, NY 10019

1 Tel: (212) 474-1000  
2 Fax: (212) 474-3700  
3 dslifkin@cravath.com  
4 kdemasi@cravath.com  
5 lrosenberg@cravath.com

6  
7 *Attorney for Defendants Juno Therapeutics,  
8 Inc., Hans E. Bishop, Steven D. Harr, and  
9 Mark J. Gilbert*

10 s/ Cliff  
11 Cantor  
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 \_\_\_\_\_

15 By: Cliff Cantor, WSBA # 17893  
16 **LAW OFFICES OF CLIFFORD A.**  
17 **CANTOR, P.C.**  
18 627 208th Ave. SE  
19 Sammamish, WA 98074  
20 Tel: (425) 868-7813  
21 Fax: (425) 732-3752  
22 cliff.cantor@outlook.com

23 *Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel*

24 **POMERANTZ LLP**  
25 Patrick V. Dahlstrom  
26 Leigh H. Smollar  
27 Omar Jafri  
Ten South La Salle Street, Suite 3505  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
Tel: (312) 377-1181  
Fax: (312) 377-1184  
pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com  
lsmollar@pomlaw.com  
ojafri@pomlaw.com

28  
29 **POMERANTZ LLP**  
30 Jeremy A. Lieberman  
31 J. Alexander Hood II  
32 600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor  
33 New York, New York 10016  
34 Tel: (212) 661-1100  
35 Fax: (212) 661-8665  
36 jalieberman@pomlaw.com  
37 ahood@pomlaw.com

1 ***Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel***  
2  
3  
4  
5

6 Pursuant to stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.  
7

8 DATED: August 15, 2017  
9



10  
11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27