Chen et al v.	U.S. Bank National Association et al		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IN THE UNITED STA FOR THE WESTERN DIS	THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTIN TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF WASHINGTON ATTLE NO. 2:16-cv-01109-RSM JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDEF TO STRIKE CASE SCHEDULE AND TRIAL DATE	
	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO STRIKE CASE SCHEDULE 2:16-cv-01109-RSM	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8800 FAX: (206) 903-8820	ockets.Ju
			1

Dockets.Justia.com

All parties to this action (the "Parties") hereby respectfully submit this Joint Status Report
 and Stipulated Motion to Strike Case Schedule and Trial Date. As set forth herein, the Parties
 stipulate to and jointly request that the current case schedule and trial date be stricken, with the
 Parties to submit a further joint status report within ninety days. Good cause, including judicial
 economy and efficiency for the parties, supports this stipulated motion.

Case Background

6

This case and its companion case (Chi Chen et al. v. U.S. Bank National Association et al., 7 Case No. 2:16-cv-1109-RSM, and Mao et al. v. U.S. Bank National Association et al. (Case No. 8 2:16-cv-1113-RSM), arise from an EB-5 immigration project and investment known as 9 Quartzburg Gold, L.P. ("Quartzburg").¹ The EB-5 program is a legal mechanism under which 10 foreign nationals can apply for immigration preferences by making investments in job-creating 11 enterprises in the United States. Plaintiffs are foreign citizens who deposited \$500,000 each to 12 participate in this EB-5 project. The "Quartzburg Defendants" include Quartzburg (the limited 13 partnership into which the Plaintiffs would invest); ISR Capital, LLC (the general partner of 14 Quartzburg); Sima Muroff (the principal of ISR Capital, LLC); and Idaho State Regional Center, 15 LLC (an affiliated entity that was the designated regional center for the Quartzburg EB-5 16 investment). Defendant U.S. Bank National Association was the escrow agent with respect to the 17 Quartzburg escrow account into which Plaintiffs deposited their funds. 18

Plaintiffs' immigration petitions associated with their participation in Quartzburg were
initially denied by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS"). As discussed
below, a lawsuit was successfully waged against USCIS by a group of investors, including many
Plaintiffs, leading to a remand for further action by the government, which is currently pending.

Meanwhile, cases that were brought against Defendants remain pending before this Court.
Plaintiffs allege that their investment decision was procured by fraud and that their escrowed funds
were improperly and prematurely released to Quartzburg. Defendants deny all liability.

26 27

Initial Case Schedule and Trial Date

¹ Substantively identical versions of this stipulated motion are being filed in both the *Chen* and the *Mao* cases.

On December 28, 2016, the Parties submitted their Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan. *See* Dkt. 77 (*Chen*); Dkt. 70 (*Mao*). The Parties jointly anticipated that the cases would be ready
for trial by September 2018, estimated the trials to require twenty days, and requested that the trials
of the two companion cases be set consecutively. *Id.* On January 6, 2017, this Court entered
Orders Setting Trial Date and Related Dates ("Case Schedule") in the two cases, setting September
10, 2018 as the trial date in *Chen* and October 1, 2018 as the trial date in *Mao. See* Dkt. 78 (*Chen*);
Dkt. 72 (*Mao*).

Subsequent Events

8

9 Shortly after some initial discovery commenced, several subsequent events occurred that
10 caused the parties to slow the pace of discovery and associated expense, particularly including the
11 following.

USCIS Litigation. On March 10, 2017, the United States District Court for the District of 12 Columbia issued a pertinent ruling (Dkt. 104) in the ongoing litigation John Doe et al. v. USCIS, 13 (D.D.C. Case No. 1:15-cv-00273) (the "USCIS Litigation"). Like the Plaintiffs in the cases 14 pending before this Court, the plaintiffs in the USCIS Litigation are foreign citizens seeking 15 conditional permanent residence in the U.S. as a result of their participation in the Quartzburg EB-16 5 program. Indeed, some of the plaintiffs in the USCIS Litigation are also Plaintiffs in the cases 17 before this Court. The plaintiffs in the USCIS Litigation had challenged the decision of USCIS to 18 19 deny their immigration petitions under the EB-5 program as arbitrary and capricious, not supported by substantial evidence, and beyond the scope of USCIS's statutory authority. The Court in the 20 USCIS Litigation issued a ruling in plaintiffs' favor, granting-in-part the plaintiffs' challenges to 21 the denials of their immigration petition, and remanding the issue to USCIS for further 22 consideration. This ruling in the USCIS Litigation further raised the possibility that the individuals 23 who participated in the Quartzburg EB-5 investment offering could ultimately obtain approvals of 24 their immigration petitions, which could significantly impact the cases pending before this Court. 25 The USCIS Litigation remains pending and USCIS has re-opened the immigration petitions of the 26 foreign investors in Quartzburg and is reevaluating the petitions ("USCIS Remand"). This process 27

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8800 FAX: (206) 903-8820 has been ongoing over the last nine months. It is currently anticipated that USICS will issue new
 decisions following remand in approximately the early Spring of 2018. *See* USCIS Litigation,
 Dkt. 124.

SEC Action. In addition, on April 28, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission 4 ("SEC") filed a Complaint against two of the Quartzburg Defendants (ISR Capital, LLC and Sima 5 Muroff) and certain other parties. See generally SEC v. Muroff et al. (District of Idaho Case No. 6 1:17-cv-00180) (the "SEC Action"). The defendants in the SEC Action entered into consent 7 judgments concurrently with the filing of the Complaint. Final judgments were entered in May 8 9 2017. The judgments required certain actions of the defendants and imposed certain obligations pertaining to Quartzburg and affiliated entities, including the appointment of an Independent 10 Manager as well as a separate Monitor. See SEC Action, Dkt. 6. These matters are also ongoing. 11 Joint Request to Strike Case Schedule 12

The Parties hereto have believed and continue to believe that events occurring in the related proceedings, including the USCIS Litigation, USCIS Remand, and SEC Action could materially impact the parties' claims and defenses, and the procedural posture of the cases before this Court. For example, if the ultimate result of the USCIS Litigation and/or USCIS Remand were that the immigration petitions of the Quartzburg investor-plaintiffs were approved, that could have a very significant impact on the claims being asserted in the cases before this Court.

19 As a result, during much of 2017, the Parties agreed amongst themselves to pause ongoing discovery to avoid potentially needless burden and expense while the USCIS Litigation and related 20 matters were proceeding. The Parties entered into a series of informal stays, from May through 21 December, to allow further progress in the related matters. The Parties have further agreed to 22 continue to hold off on discovery and to submit this stipulated motion. The Parties believe that, in 23 light of the potential material impacts of the related matters, continuing to hold off on further 24 discovery for the time being remains appropriate and in the best interests of the Parties. The Parties 25 also agree that the current Case Schedule and trial date is no longer feasible or appropriate given 26 the events that have occurred since the Case Schedule was established. In light of the current 27

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8820 FAX: (206) 903-8820 uncertainty about the timing of other related matters, the Parties are not in a position at this moment
 to propose or present their positions as to a new case schedule, but anticipate being in a position
 to do so within ninety days.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein and for purposes of efficiency for the Parties and judicial economy, the Parties stipulate to and jointly request that the current Case Schedule and trial date be stricken, with the Parties to submit a further joint status report and new proposed case schedule and trial date within ninety days.

STIPULATED MOTION TO STRIKE CASE SCHEDULE 4 2:16-cv-01109-RSM

1	Stipulated and Respectfully Submitted th	is 28th day of December, 2017.
2	/s/ Shawn Larsen-Bright	/s/ Michael Black (per email authorization)
3	Peter Ehrlichman, WSBA #6591	Michael Black, admitted pro hac vice
5	Shawn Larsen-Bright, WSBA #37066	Rita M. Cornish, admitted pro hac vice
4	Dorsey & Whitney LLP	April M. Medley, <i>admitted pro hac vice</i>
5	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6100	Parr Brown Gee & Loveless
5	Seattle, WA 98104-7043 (206) 903-8800	101 South 200 East, Suite 700 Salt Lake City, UT 84111
6	ehrlichman.peter@dorsey.com	mblack@parrbrown.com
7	larsen.bright.shawn@dorsey.com	rcornish@parrbrown.com
7	Attorneys for Defendant U.S. Bank National	amedley@parrbrown.com
8	Association	and
0		Lawrence Carl Locker, WSBA #15819
9		Steven O. Fortney, WSBA # 44704
10		Summit Law Group
		315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000
11		Seattle, WA 98104-2682 (206) 676-7000
12		larryl@summitlaw.com
12		stevef@summitlaw.com
13		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14		2 0 00
14	(a/ Enia Swant- (non an ail anthonization)	
15	<u>/s/ Eric Swartz (per email authorization)</u> Eric B. Swartz, admitted pro hac vice	
	Jones & Swartz PLLC	
16	623 W. Hays Street	
17	Boise, ID 83702	
	(208) 489-8989	
18	eric@jonesandswartzlaw.com	
19	and	
17	Thomas M. Brennan, WSBA #30662	
20	P.O. Box 1384	
21	Edmonds, WA 98026 (425) 967-3550	
21	tom@brennanlegalpllc.com	
22	tom (goromanie galpheteoni	
	Attorneys for Defendants Quartzburg Gold,	
23	LP, ISR Capital LLC, Idaho State Regional	
24	Center, LLC, and Sima Muroff	
25		
26		
20		
27		
	STIPULATED MOTION TO STRIKE CASE SCHEDULE 5 2:16-cv-01109-RSM	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8800 FAX: (206) 903-8820

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SOORDERED. The case schedule and trial date
3	in this case are hereby stricken. The Parties are directed to submit a further joint status report
4	together with a proposed case schedule within ninety (90) days of the date of this Order.
5	
6	Dated this 2 day of January 2018.
7	
8	Ruli
9	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
10	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20	
- '	STIPULATED MOTION TO STRIKEDorsey & Whitney LLPCASE SCHEDULE6701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 61002:16-cv-01109-RSMSEATTLE, WA 98104-7043PHONE: (206) 903-8820FAX: (206) 903-8820