Doc. 140

Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1183 (9th Cir. 2006). As related to dispositive motions, a party seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents must meet the high threshold of showing that "compelling reasons" support secrecy. *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1180. "In general, 'compelling reasons' sufficient to outweigh the public's interest in disclosure and justify sealing court records exist when such 'court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,' such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets." *Id.* at 1179 (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)).

The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Motion, Defendant's Response, and the remainder of the record, finds that no compelling reason supports sealing Exhibits EE and FF to the Supplemental Declaration of Scott C.G. Blankenship in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion to Seal (Dkt. #135) is DENIED and that Exhibits EE and FF to the Supplemental Declaration of Scott C.G. Blankenship in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Dkts. #136 and #137) shall be immediately UNSEALED BY THE CLERK.

Dated this 16th day of February 2018.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE