1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	PILRANG BAE OWA,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C16-1236 RAJ
12	riamum,	ORDER
13	V.	
14	FRED MEYER STORES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for extension of	
18	discovery deadlines. Dkt. # 84. Defendant Fred Meyer Stores ("Fred Meyer") opposes	
19	the motion. Dkt. # 87.	
20	The Court set the discovery cutoff in this matter for December 18, 2017. Dkt. #	
21	78. The Court further required that "[a]ll motions related to discovery must be noted on	
22	the motion calendar no later than the Friday before discovery closes pursuant to LCR	
23	7(d)(3)." <i>Id</i> . The Scheduling Order in this matter is strict, warning that "[t]hese are firm	
24	dates that can be changed only by order of the Court, not by agreement of counsel or the	
25	parties." <i>Id.</i> The Court may order such a change "only for good cause." Fed. R. Civ. P.	
26	16(b)(4).	

27

Plaintiff has not shown good cause to modify the Scheduling Order. It appears from the motion that the only basis for "good cause" is Plaintiff's carelessness, see Dkt. # 84 at 2 (stating that "the Court's electronically transmitted Order . . . escaped the undersigned's attention"), and time restraints in association with prosecuting her own case, see id. at 2-5 (explaining that Plaintiff demonstrated due diligence and good faith by responding to discovery, pursuing mediation, and defending depositions). Nothing in Plaintiff's motion suggests to the Court that there is good cause to modify the Scheduling Order. For the foregoing reasons, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion. Dkt. #84. Dated this 4th day of January, 2018. Richard A Jones The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge