1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6	AT SEATTLE
7	SECURE CHANNELS, INC., a California ) Corporation, )
8	Plaintiff, ) Case No. C16-1306RSM
9	v. () ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION TO FILE THIRD
10	ROBERT COLERIDGE, a Washington ) AMENDED COMPLAINT AND   Notice ) STRIKING PENDING MOTIONS
11	resident, ) ) Defendant. )
12	)
13	On March 10, 2017, the parties' filed a Stipulation to File Third Amended Complaint,
14	along with a proposed Order allowing the filing of such Complaint and supplementing the
15	briefing on Defendant's pending Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint. Dkt.
16	#64. Having reviewed the Stipulation and proposed Order, the Court does not find the parties'
17	proposal regarding Defendant's pending motion to dismiss to be efficient or helpful to the
18	
19	Court.
20	Accordingly, the Court does not accept the parties' proposed Order in that regard and
21	alternatively hereby ORDERS:
22	1. Pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties, Plaintiff shall file a Third Amended
23	Complaint - in the form sent to opposing counsel as of the date of the parties'
24	stipulation – within two (2) business days of the Court's entry of this Order.
25 25	2. Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint <b>replaces and supersedes</b> the previously-filed
26	
27	Second Amended Complaint. Accordingly, Defendant's pending Motion to Dismiss ORDER REGARDING THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1

the Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. #51) is **moot** and shall be STRICKEN from the motion calendar. Nothing in this Order precludes Defendant from filing another motion to dismiss should they find such action to be necessary.

3. Plaintiff's pending Motion to Amend Complaint (Dkt. #60) is also now **moot** and shall be STRICKEN from the motion calendar.

DATED this 13th day of March, 2017.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE