

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
6 AT SEATTLE

7 “AURORA”,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 PATRICK ALLEN SHEELY,

11 Defendant.

Case No. C16-1358RSM

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
AWARD OF STATUTORY  
DAMAGES

12  
13 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Award of Statutory  
14 Damages. Dkt. #36. The Court has previously issued an Order on partial summary judgment in  
15 Plaintiff’s favor, establishing that Defendant Sheely is liable to Plaintiff under 18 U.S.C. § 2255  
16 for her personal injury(ies).<sup>1</sup> Dkt. #32. In addition, the Court had ordered that the amount of  
17 compensatory damages, along with attorney’s fees and costs, would be determined at a hearing  
18 before the Court *Id.*

19  
20 Plaintiff has now moved for an award of statutory damages without a hearing. Plaintiff  
21 explains that “such an award will expedite the process of entering an order of damages, incur less  
22 expense for the presentation of witnesses including experts, and spare Plaintiff the further emotional  
23

24  
25  

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court has also previously set forth the background to, and reasoning for its  
decision, and incorporates those sections of its prior Order by reference herein. *See* Dkt. #32.

1 injury of confronting the person who has taken pleasure in viewing the images of her sexual abuse as  
2 a child.” Dkt. #36 at 3.

3 The Court has reviewed Plaintiff’s motion, along with the Declaration of Carol L.  
4 Hepburn in support thereof, and Exhibits thereto. Dkts. #36 and #37. Defendant has failed to  
5 respond to the motion. Under this Court’s Local Civil Rule 7(b)(2), “[e]xcept for motions for  
6 summary judgment, if a party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion, such failure may be  
7 considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit.” The Court finds that  
8 Defendant’s failure to respond to this motion is such an admission. While the Court could grant  
9 Plaintiff’s motion as a result, the Court also finds that Plaintiff’s motion succeeds on the merits.

10 18 U.S.C. § 2255, familiarly known as “Masha’s law,” provides a civil remedy for  
11 personal injuries caused by sexual exploitation of children. 18 U.S.C. § 2255(a).<sup>2</sup> The statute  
12 provides that “[a]ny person as described in the preceding sentence shall be deemed to have  
13 sustained damages of no less than \$150,000 in value.” *Id.* As Plaintiff has noted, this  
14 presumptive floor of damages was recently affirmed by the 6th Circuit in *Prewett, ex rel JW v.*  
15 *Weems*, 749 F.3d 454 (6th Cir. 2016). In addition, this Court, relying on a different 6th Circuit  
16 Court of Appeals case, determined that “the \$150,000 minimum damages are deemed to be the  
17 ‘actual damages’ sustained, not punitive damages.” *Amy v. Kennedy*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS  
18  
19

---

20 <sup>2</sup> This civil right of action was first passed in 1986. Child Abuse Victims’ Rights Act of 1986,  
21 Pub. L. No. 99-500, § 703(a), 100 Stat. 1783, 1783-74 to -75 (1986) to -75 (1986). It was  
22 amended in 1998 to cover a wider array of predicate crimes. Protection of Children from Sexual  
23 Predators Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105-314, § 605, 112 Stat. 2974, 2984 (1998). It was  
24 amended again in 2006 (at which time it became known as Masha’s Law) to increase minimum  
25 statutory damages from \$50,000 to \$150,000 and make clear that an adult could bring suit based  
on a predicate crime that took place while she was a minor. Masha’s Law, Pub. L. No. 109-248,  
§ 707, 120 Stat. 587, 650 (2006). It was amended a final time in 2013 to again widen the array  
of predicate crimes and increase the statute of limitations from six years to ten years. Violence  
Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Pub. L. No. 113-4, § 1212(a), 127 Stat. 54, 143  
(2013).

1 24779, \*14 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 25, 2014) (citing *Doe v. Boland*, 698 F.3d 877, 882 (6th Cir.  
2 2012).

3 Here, Plaintiff requests an award of the presumptive damages of \$150,000.00. The  
4 Court agrees with Plaintiff that the report and affidavit of Dr. Green provide adequate evidence  
5 that she has in fact been damaged by defendant's actions in viewing her child sexual abuse.  
6 Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

7 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Statutory Damages (Dkt. #36) is GRANTED.

8 Defendant Sheely is liable to Plaintiff under 18 U.S.C. § 2255 for her personal  
9 injury(ies) in the statutory amount of \$150,000.00.

10 2. The amount of attorney's fees and costs will be determined at a hearing before  
11 the Court. Plaintiff's counsel shall make a good faith effort to meet and  
12 confer with *pro se* Defendant regarding a mutually-agreeable date and time  
13 for such hearing. Plaintiff's counsel shall then contact the Court's In-Court  
14 Deputies Laurie Cuaresma and Lowell Williams at 206-370-8521 with several  
15 proposed dates and times, which the Court will consider. At the hearing,  
16 Plaintiff's counsel shall be prepared to submit evidence supporting any  
17 request for attorney's fees and costs.  
18

19 3. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to *pro se* Defendant via U.S. Mail to  
20 the address reflected on the Court's docket.  
21

22 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May 2017.

23 

24 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
25 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE