

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DAVID PALLIES,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 THE BOEING COMPANY,

13 Defendant.  
14

Case No. C16-1437RSL


ORDER FOR FURTHER  
BRIEFING

15 On June 29, 2018, the Court entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of  
16 defendant, the Boeing Company. Dkt. # 61. Plaintiff timely filed a motion seeking  
17 reconsideration of three issues. Dkt. # 63. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will be  
18 granted only upon a “showing of manifest error in the prior ruling” or “new facts or legal  
19 authority which could not have been brought to [the Court’s] attention earlier with reasonable  
20 diligence.” LCR 7(h)(1). For the first two issues, the Court concludes that plaintiff has failed to  
21 meet that standard and, for those two issues, the motion is DENIED. The Court, however, will  
22 reserve ruling on the third issue: whether, under the Washington Court of Appeals decision  
23 Wheeler v. Catholic Archdiocese of Seattle, 65 Wn. App. 552 (1992), rev’d in part on other  
24 grounds, 124 Wn.2d 634 (1994), Boeing’s obligation to accommodate Pallies extended to  
25 informing him of a crane scheduler position that became open after his termination; and if so,  
26 whether that duty’s existence merits reconsideration of the Court’s decision to grant summary  
27 judgment in favor of Boeing. See Dkt. # 63 at 8–9.

28  
ORDER FOR FURTHER BRIEFING - 1

1 Pursuant to LCR 7(h)(3), Boeing will be given an opportunity to respond to the motion  
2 for reconsideration. The Clerk of Court is directed to renote the motion, Dkt. # 63, on the  
3 Court's calendar for September 28, 2018. Boeing's response is due on September 26, 2018, and  
4 plaintiff's reply is due on the note date.

5 DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of Sept., 2018.

6  
7   
8 Robert S. Lasnik  
9 United States District Judge